Sintering of Al$_2$O$_3$–CeO$_2$ nanopowder Prepared by Co-Precipitation Method
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Abstract - In the present work, Al$_2$O$_3$–CeO$_2$ composite nanopowders were synthesized via co-precipitation method. The sinterability of the synthesized powders was investigated. The results showed that the transformation of transition phases of alumina to α-Al$_2$O$_3$ takes place at 1200 °C. TEM images showed that the powders was composed of nanoparticles in the range of 30–70 nm. The densification of composites started at about 1200 °C. The relative density of sintered Al$_2$O$_3$–CeO$_2$ composites was measured to be 95% at 1600 °C. SEM results of sintered samples showed a sub-micronic/ micronic microstructure.
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1. Introduction

Alumina is an important ceramic in industrial applications (Schel et al., 1989 & Hassanzadeh-Tabrizi & Taheri-Nassaj, 2009), ceramic membranes and catalytic membranes (Cho et al., 1997 & Torrecillas et al., 2007). Alumina properties such as high specific surface area, surface acidity, chemical stability, and defects in their crystalline structures are the important factors in such wide range of applications (Li & Gao, 1999). Ceria is a fluorite-structured ceramic material that does not show any known crystallographic change from room temperature up to its melting point (2700 °C) (Li et al., 2004). The mechanical properties and catalytic performance of alumina ceramics can be improved by dispersing ceria particles in alumina matrix (Hassanzadeh-Tabrizi & Taheri-Nassaj, 2011 & Heidy et al., 2015). The sintering behaviour and microstructure of ceramics are significantly affected by the ceramic processing including the initial powder state, particle size, and sintering processes. It is known that the synthesis method has an important effect on the powder characteristics (Bowen & Carry, 2002, Li & Gao, 2001 & Pournajaf et al., 2014).

Conventional methods for synthesizing ceramic nanopowders include mechanochemical (Davoodi et al., 2015), co-precipitation (Liu et al., 2015), sol–gel (Ahmed & Abdel-Messih, 2011 & Motlagh et al., 2015), hydrothermal (Fang et al., 2015) and microemulsion synthesis (Pournajaf et al., 2014) and other related methods. Among these methods, chemical syntheses produce fine particles of high purity and high specific surface area. In addition chemical syntheses achieve intimate mixing of reactant cations on the atomic level, leading to lowering synthesis temperature. Compared with chemical methods, homogeneous precipitation method is one of the most promising techniques because of the inexpensive starting materials, a simple synthesis process and commonly available apparatus.

In the present work, Al$_2$O$_3$–CeO$_2$ nanocomposite powder was synthesized using the through a precipitation method. The sinterability of this nanopowder was investigated in detail.
2. Experimental Procedure

The precursor solutions for Al₂O₃–15 wt.% CeO₂ nanopowder were prepared by co-precipitation method using AlCl₃·6H₂O (Merck), Al powder, CeCl₃·7H₂O (Merck), HCl (Merck) and NH₄OH (Merck). The aluminum powder was produced by M.A. University. This powder has a spherical shape with an average diameter about 37.5 μm. First AlCl₃·6H₂O and CeCl₃·7H₂O were dissolved in deionized water and HCl. Then aluminum powder was added to the solution. The precursor solution was continuously stirred at 100 °C for 4 h to completely dissolve the starting materials. The solution was added drop-wise to NH₄OH while keeping a constant pH value of 9 by adding extra-ammonia solution. The obtained precipitate was washed using distilled water to remove the impurities, and finally dried at 80 °C for 48 h. The resultant synthesis product has been calcined at 800 °C for 30 min to remove water and volatile components. The powders were pressed into compacts, using a uniaxial press at the pressure of 300 MPa. The compacts were then sintered in a tube furnace under air atmosphere at various temperatures for 3 h with a heating rate of 6 °C/min.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out for phase analysis of the dried precipitate and calcined powder using Philips X-pert model with CuKα radiation. The average crystallite size of the powder was estimated from the Scherrer equation. The densities of the sintered specimens were measured by the Archimedes method. The microstructures of the specimens were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, XL30-Phillips) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, CM200-FEG-Phillips).

3. Results and Discussion

The X-ray diffraction patterns of the precipitate heat treated for 3 h at various temperatures are shown in Fig. 1. As can be, the dried precipitate consists of CeO₂ with pure cubic structure and AlOOH. By increasing the heat treatment temperature to 400 °C, bohmite changes to amorphous alumina and only the ceria peaks are detected. Amorphous alumina converts to η-Al₂O₃ at 600 °C. By increasing the temperature to 1100 °C, θ-Al₂O₃ is formed. The peak intensity of these phases increases with increasing the temperature. In addition the broadening of the peaks decreases which shows growing the nanocrystallites. Transformation of transition phases of alumina to α-Al₂O₃ takes place at 1200 °C.

![XRD patterns of composite samples heat treated at various temperatures.](image-url)
Fig. 2 shows the TEM image of the powders heat treated at 800 °C. As can be seen, some agglomerates exist in the powders, which are attributed to the uncontrolled coagulation during precipitation and formation of necks during calcination. The size of primary particles is in the range of 30–70 nm. In addition, some agglomerates exist in the powders which are attributed to uncontrolled coagulation during precipitation. In fact, the particles cling together and form larger agglomerated structures to reduce surface energy.

Fig. 2. TEM micrograph of Al₂O₃–15 wt.% CeO₂ powder after heat treatment at 800 °C.

Fig. 3 shows the changes of the fractional density versus the sintering temperature of Al₂O₃–15 wt.% CeO₂ composite. The density versus temperature plot exhibited a sigmoidal shape. As seen, the sintering rate below 1200 °C was slow. The rate of densification increased drastically at 1300 °C and a significant densification was obtained at about 1550 °C. As a consequence, the increase of temperature from 1200 to 1550 °C increased the fractional density from 62 to 93 of theoretical density. With further increasing of the sintering temperature, a relatively slight increase was obtained in the density. One reason for limitation of density (95%) is due to dry pressing process. As it is known, by uniaxial pressing the external force can never arrive on an individual particle in the body to shift it into an optimum position between its neighbors. Therefore, this method generates large pores in green compacts. As it is known, the highest densification rate occurs for the finest pore size. Therefore, large pores in the samples undergo the pore-boundary separation and remain. It reduces the density in all sintered specimens.

Fig. 3. Density of sintered nanocomposite powder as a function of sintering temperature.
Fig. 4 shows the changes of the grain size versus the fired temperature of Al$_2$O$_3$-CeO$_2$ composite. The change of grain size as a function of sintering temperature presents two distinct regions. At the first region, between 1300 and 1450 °C, the rate of grain growth was slow. In the second stage of sintering (usually between 0.65 and 0.9 theoretical density), according to the solid-state sintering mechanism, dispersed open pores could pin grain boundaries and hinder grain boundary migration, for which the grain growth was suppressed. Second region was related to temperatures higher than 1450 °C. In this region, the final grain size of the sintered samples was sharply increased. It shows a significant grain growth at the final stage of sintering. It was confirmed that the open pores (referring to the intermediate stage of sintering) collapsed to form closed pores, after which the final stage of sintering started. Such a collapse resulted in a substantial decrease in pore pinning, which triggers the accelerated grain growth.
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**Fig. 4.** Grain size of sintered nanocomposite powder as a function of sintering temperature.

The microstructure of the sample after sintering at 1600 °C for 3 h is shown in Fig. 5. Microstructural observation showed that grain growth occurs in the samples. As can be seen, an inhomogeneous equiaxed microstructure is observed. The contrasted grey and bright areas within the images on the nanocomposites correspond to the Al$_2$O$_3$ and CeO$_2$ phases, respectively. Some CeO$_2$ particles about 500nm were located between grains. Some pores are visible in the microstructure.

![Scanning electron micrograph](image)

**Fig. 5.** Scanning electron micrograph of specimen sintered at 1600 °C for 3 h.
4. Conclusion

In the present study an Al₂O₃-CeO₂ nanopowder was synthesized via co-precipitation method. The dried precipitate consisted of CeO₂ with pure cubic structure and AlOOH. The precipitate transformed to Al₂O₃-CeO₂ at higher temperatures. Most of the particles calcined at 800 °C were in the range of 30-70 nm. The relative density of sintered composites was measured to be 95% at 1600 °C. SEM micrograph revealed that CeO₂ particles (about 500 nm) are located between grains. SEM results of sintered samples showed a sub-micronic/micronic microstructure.
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