Proceedings of the 4th World Congress on Civil, Structural, and Environmental Engineering (CSEE'19) Rome, Italy – April 7-9, 2019 Paper No. ICGRE 108 DOI: 10.11159/icgre19.108

Factors Affecting Erosion in Unpaved Roads

Esdras Ngezahayo¹, Gurmel S. Ghataora², Michael P.N. Burrow²

¹Department of Civil Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Birmingham Edgbaston, B15 2TT, Birmingham, United Kingdom EXN396@student.bham.ac.uk; g.s.ghataora@bham.ac.uk ²School of Engineering, University of Birmingham Edgbaston, B15 2TT, Birmingham, United Kingdom m.p.n.burrow@bham.ac.uk

Abstract - More than 90% of the road network in developing countries is unpaved and comprises of either gravel or earth roads. Such roads are prone to erosion leading to the formation of potholes, rills and gullies. Many studies have been undertaken on soil erosion, but only a few are focussed on earth roads. A systematic analysis of research on erosion of soils in earth roads was undertaken to draw out lessons that can be learnt. 564 studies were assessed. Of these only 85 were relevant to earth roads. Most significant erosion driver was rain. In addition to rainfall duration and intensity, findings were that the key factors that affected soil erosion in earth roads were soil type, clay content, soil plasticity, particle size distribution and degree of the surface layer compaction as well as traffic loading and speed.

Keywords: Unpaved road, splash detachment, inter-rill, rill and gully erosion, erosion factors.

1. Introduction

About 80% of world roads are unpaved [1] and it could be more than 90% in rural areas of developing countries [2]. Those are both gravel and earth roads. The latter are based on compacted natural soils forming the surface layer. Rural roads help rural social and economic growth. Despite this, they attract little investments in engineering and maintenance. As result, they are usable in dry season though with unwanted dust, and become muddy, slippery, with rills, gullies and potholes in rainy season. Also, only 37% of people in rural areas of developing countries have access to all-weather road within 2 km compared to 94% in developed countries [1], [3]. Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) is the most vulnerable region with heavier burden on rural women and children [4]. A systematic investigation on erodibility of soils in earth roads was undertaken, key erosion types (Table 2) and factors discussed. Envelopes for soil erodibility trends have been given to shed light on necessary measures for combating erosion in those roads that are vital for developing countries.

2. The image of unsurfaced roads

Poor rural transport hampers development. For example, Tanzania (TZ) in 1988 lost 50% of cotton harvest in three regions, 80% of rice paddy in one region and more than 50% of seeds and fertilizers in another region due to poor roads [4]. Currently, 10 - 40% of TZ's agricultural harvest cannot be moved to desired markets and [5] attribute 89% of the problem to poor rural roads. Table 1 gives statistical representation of earth roads in selected countries to highlight their importance.

	-		-		-	
			[3] 2008		[6]	
	1998				2017	
	Length (*106 km)	Unpaved (%)	Length (*106 km)	Unpaved (%)	Length (*10 ⁶ km)	Unpaved (%)
World	29.912	49.2	33.839	42.6	64.285	≥ 70
USA	6.310	41.0	6.494	32.6	6.586	34.6
China	2.210	82.0	3.730	46.5	4.577	11.6
India	3.010	51.0	3.320	48.0	4.699	39.0
Brazil	1.630	90.4	1.633	87.1	1.580	86.5
Japan	1.152	25.1	1.204	20.2	1.218	18.5
Canada	0.902	64.7	1.042	60.1	1.042	60.1
France	0.893	0.0	1.027	0.0	1.028	0.0
Russia	0.948	22.8	0.982	21.0	1.283	27.7
SSA	1.837	86.9	2.296	84.8	2.380	80.6

Table 1: Unpaved roads in the world, SSA and eight selected countries with long road networks.

3. Erosion: the permanent threat to unsurfaced roads

The integrity of unpaved roads depends on factors which together resist natural and functional stresses. Usually earth roads are made of locally selected soils which may have to be stabilized to gain engineering properties for construction. They need to be built and maintained in accordance with suitable standards and procedures. Those roads can fail due to lack of bearing capacity, overloading and surface erosion. The latter is manifested in formation of rills and gullies which if not addressed can make the road impassable. This study grouped 564 erosion studies into six categories as shown in Fig. 1. Only about 15% of these related to unpaved roads. Further, 71 studies on surface erosion relevant to earth roads were detailed (Fig. 2). 34% and 33% of those focused on sheet and rill erosion respectively while the rest covered splash and gully erosions.



3.1. Splash erosion

Known as rainfall erosion [7], [8], rain impact and rain induced erosion [9], splash is the starting point of surface water erosion [10]. Soil particles are detached by the raindrops impact kinetic energy (KE) [11]. This KE is absorbed by deforming, wetting, dislodging and upward reactive forces [12], [13], [14]. The reactive force entrains and moves particles but reduces due to its sensitivity to wind, soil type and soil water functions [12]. Detachment processes differ between bare and vegetated slopes with the latter dictated by plant canopy, leaf interception and raindrop size [15] while roughness, density and humidity are key for bare slopes. Therefore, earth roads are erosion detachment limited but covered slopes are transport limited [16].

3.2. Sheet (inter-rill) erosion

Inter-rill erosion occurs if there is enough rain to create surface flow. It is often combined with splash [17] because both are rain detachment affected [18]. However, there is a time gap between splash detachment [19] and overland flow start since thresholds for flow must be met. Sheet erosion affects topmost surface soils [17] with flow stresses detaching loose soils and moving these downslope. Sheet detachment depends more on rain KE [20] and less on water flow stresses [21].

3.3. Rill erosion

Rill erosion results from sheet flow concentrating into small streams. Rills are narrow and shallow [22], [23] and increase in size as both traffic and rains increase. Rill and sheet erosions differ by detachment and transport processes. The rill erodibility depends on concentrated shear stresses [12] that become greater than critical stresses to detach soil particles. Most sediment on bare slopes is generated by rill erosion [18]. Entrainment and deposition refer to the mass of detached soils and disposed respectively. The net detachment is the activity of excess hydraulic stresses to critical stresses [24]. Also, net sediment deposition is the difference between deposition and entrainment when the former is greater, and the opposite way gives net erosion. Although unlikely, erosion equilibrium happens when entrainment and deposition equate [25].

3.4. Gully erosion

It is an advanced concentrated erosion. Gullies are wide and deep usually with tension cracks and cliffs [22]. These may form if rills are not treated [26] and can destroy the road [27]. [28] describe extreme gullies in Nigeria measuring up to 150m in depth, 0.4m to 5.6km wide and up to 2.5km long. [29] subdivide erosion types on slopes such as earth roads (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3: Contributions of erosion types on a slope (After [29]).

Туре	Sub-type	Key characteristics	Study type					
Water	Splash erosion	Raindrop kinetic energy [9]	Review of laboratory and field studies					
Erosion	Inter-rill erosion	Rain kinetic energy and flow stresses [30]	Field tests on spoil deposit					
	Rill erosionConcentrated flow shear stresses [24]		Water erosion model on slopes					
	Gully erosion	Concentrated flow shear stresses [17]	Modelling social cost of soil erosion					

Table 2: Water erosion types and erosive forces.

4. Interactive factors affecting erosion in unsurfaced roads

A study underway at the University of Birmingham has identified more than 2200 studies of soil erosion. These were systematically screened and narrowed to 564 good studies from which 99873 data were analysed in detail. The screening process based on the meaningful titles and abstracts at first; then on the methodology of studies. Inclusion and exclusion criteria that allowed to retain only studies that dealt with laboratory and field-based investigations on erosion processes and measurements were used. In this way, 219 studies were deliberately put aside for further analysis that helped to identify key factors affecting erodibility of soils in earth roads. Those can be grouped into environment and climate; geology and geotechnical; and road and traffic factors as it is shown in the Fig. 4.

Environment and Climate factors: Precipitations, rain intensity, rain duration, raindrop size, raindrop shape, raindrop falling velocity, rain surface striking angle, weathering, flow stresses, water chemistry, freeze-thaw, humidity, wetting and dry cycles, slope properties and vegetation.

Road and Traffic factors: Road cuts and fills, longitudinal drainage systems, cross-drainage systems, traffic volume and type, traffic speeds, traffic frequency, road geometry, road size, road drainage area, road surface roughness, and maintenance regimes.

Geology and Geotechnical factors: Soil type, clay content, particle size distribution, shear strength, cohesion, bulk density, moisture content, maximum dry density, optimum moisture content, salts content, organic content, CBR, UCS, frication angle, consistency limits, aggregate stability, permeability, infiltration rate and infiltration capacity.

Fig. 4: Classification of main factors affecting erosion in unpaved roads.

4.1. Geology and Geotechnical factors

Fig. 5 shows that geology and soil lead the understanding of erosion. 82%, 32% and 31% of studies respectively relate particle size distribution, clay percent and index properties to erodibility. 26%, 24%, 23%, 22% and 21% of studies argued that shear strength, bulk density, organic content and compaction respectively influence erodibility in earth roads. Also, infiltration, permeability, particle stability, salts content, mineralogy and consolidation were reported to influence erosion.



Fig. 5: Erosion factors, number and percent of studies.

Tests on loamy sand, silt loam and clay loam [31], loess [20], mixes of kaolin and sand, and kaolin, silt and sand [32] and consolidated sandy loam [33] showed that erodibility decreases as clay content and plasticity index (PI) [34] increase. [12] states that there is no single soil property that either does not impact soil erosion or that can alone be used to predict erodibility. [35], [36], [37], [38] argue that silt and fine sand erode more than gravel and clay soils due to weight and cohesion respectively. The soil shear strength that resists erosion stress decreases with increasing moisture [39], leading to formation of ruts and rills [40]. Fig. 6 relates erosion rate (E_r) and shear stresses (τ) to PI as recorded during erosion tests on 11 soils. It shows that E_r decreases with increase in PI whilst τ increase with PI, though relations are a bit tenuous due to limited data.



Fig. 6: Erosion rate versus plasticity index (a) and shear stress versus plasticity index (b).

4.2. Environment and Climate factors

Environment and climate erosion factors include rain, rain intensity and duration, raindrop size, raindrop shape, rain falling height, weathering, flow stresses, water chemistry, freeze-thaw, humidity, wet - dry cycles and slope properties. Rain, its intensity and duration are with more effects on soil erodibility with 56%, 53% and 46% of studies respectively (Fig. 5). Flow shear stresses and stream power were reported in 24% of studies each while 23% and 12% of studies argued impact of road position within a slope to erosion. Heavy rains increased erosion on silt loam and clay loam soils [41], [7] and on sandy loam and loam soils [41]. More erosion was reported due to high rain intensity [42], [43] and duration [44], [45], [46]. Usually, rain detaching energy depends on drops size, shape and velocity, wind and drop surface striking angle [47]. [19] studied erosivity in terms of raindrops size and velocity, and particle detachment energy on fine sand and silt loam. The study shows that energy decreases from clay to silts and then increases with particle size. Fig. 7 gives an envelope for KE thresholds for splash detachment with respect to soil mean particle size (D₅₀) and another one for sheet erosion critical velocity versus soil particle size. Also, splash, sheet and rill erosions increase with stream and unit stream powers [35], [48], [49], [50], [51].



Fig.7: Rain energy versus mean particle size and flow critical velocity versus particle size.

4.3. Road and Traffic factors

Traffic wheels disturb the surface and avail loose material for entrainment before and during the storm [48], [52], [53]. Other road factors include cuts and fills, traffic volume, type and frequency, road geometry and size, and surface roughness. Road length, geometry, drainage and maintenance activities are argued by 26%, 23%, 13%, 13% and 12% of studies respectively as influential to earth roads erosion (Fig 5). The ruts influence on erosion was reported in 7% of studies. Table 3 gives trends of erosion (E) due to some factors (F) in terms of increase (I) and decrease (D) generally.

Factor (studies)	F		Ε		Comments and example references	
	Ι	D	Ι	D		
Clay % (233)	\checkmark	—	—	\checkmark	The more the clay %, the higher the cohesion and PI of soils. The 3	
Cohesion (99), PI (93)	\checkmark	—	—	\checkmark	parameters increase critical shear stresses [34] and reduce erosion	
Consolidation (30)		—	—	\checkmark	Consolidation strengthens soils and reduces erosion [33]	
Particle size (276)		—		—	Erosion reduces with particle size increase [19]	
Water content (148)	\checkmark	—	\checkmark	_	Shear strength lowers, soil loss increases [39], [54]	
Shear Strength (115)	\checkmark	—	—	\checkmark	Increase in critical shear stress [55]	
Bulk Density (142)		—	—	\checkmark	Increase in critical shear stress [37]	
Compaction (156)	\checkmark	—	—	\checkmark	Less erosion at maximum dry density [56]	
Salts content (53)	\checkmark	—	—	\checkmark	Salts in water increase resistance for clays [57]	
pH (68)		—		—	Higher pH values imply higher erosion susceptibility [57]	
Particle Stability (35)		—	—		Stable particles resist splash + water stresses [7]	
Organic content (76)	\checkmark	—	—	\checkmark	Organic % and wetting events enhance aggregate stability [58]	
Friction Angle (115)	—		\checkmark	_	The smaller the angle, the higher the soil detachment [59]	
Shear Stress (107)	\checkmark	—	\checkmark	_	More stresses dislodge more particles [20], [46]	
CBR (108), UCS (75)	\checkmark	—		\checkmark	Increase bearing capacity, strength and critical shear stress [60]	
Infiltration (78)	\checkmark	—	—	\checkmark	Particles < 0.125mm improve cohesion [61]	
Surface roughness (8)	\checkmark	—	—	\checkmark	Reduces flow velocity and stresses [12]	
Gradient (262)	\checkmark	—		\checkmark	Steeper gradients produce more erosion [62]	
Road Grading (7)	\checkmark	—	\checkmark		Avail more soil for entrainment [62]	
Kinetic Energy (32)		—	\checkmark		Higher rain KE detaches more soil particles [19]	
Slope Patterns (137)		—	\checkmark		More concave, solar struck slopes showed higher erosion [63]	
Desiccation (34)		—	\checkmark		Decrease in soil strength [64]	
Thaw-Freeze (40)	\checkmark	—	\checkmark		Weakens soils, increases erosion [65], [66]	
Dispersion (25)	\checkmark	—	\checkmark		>15% exchangeable salts, pH >7.8, high dispersive & erosion [67]	
Conductivity (27)	\checkmark	—	\checkmark		EC>250µs/cm, sodium adsorption ratio >10: dispersivity, + erosion [67]	
Rain features (273)	\checkmark	—	\checkmark		High rain amount, intensity and duration cause high erosion [41]	
Stream Power (107)	\checkmark	—	\checkmark	—	Stream power increases rill erosion [35], [49]	
Traffic effects (22)	\checkmark	—	\checkmark	—	Loosens soils for entrainment, creates rills [52], [53]	

Table 3: Factors and erosion trends in unsurfaced roads

6. Implication for earth roads

Detailed analysis of published data is reported elsewhere, however, the above findings show that soils used at the surface of earth roads need very careful consideration as they are most affected by both the climate (temperatures, rainfall) and traffic loadings. The latter impose shear stresses that can dislodge surface particles, which when exposed to dry conditions may be eroded by natural wind or that generated by moving vehicles. If the dislodged soils are exposed to rainwater, they may be transported due to splashing, or surface water flow. Also, inundated surface soils may be dislodged due to traffic wheel/soil interaction. Therefore, in addition to the ability of soils to support traffic loadings and traffic speed as key design factors, designs need also to consider soil/wheel interactions. Since it is not possible to control rain intensity and duration, it is possible to engineer road design to minimise the loss of soils due to erosion. In addition, these designs need to pay particular attention to drainage, surface slopes and degree of compaction. In some cases regardless of the level of compactions soils will remain highly susceptible to erosion. In such instances, particle size of soil may need to be modified and some form of soil stabilisation techniques may need to be used.

7. Conclusion

Bulk of the 71 studies found that inter-rill and rill erosion were the most prominent types of erosion that cause most of earth road failures. Judging by the number of studies, the five most important factors that affect erosion in those roads were particle size distribution of the soil, slope, amount of rainfall, rainfall intensity and duration of rainfall. Low plasticity soils, comprising silts and fine sands, were most likely to erode. Also, studies showed that as the plasticity index increased

increasing stresses are needed to erode soils. Dislodgement of particles due to rain drops shows that silts and fine sands are likely to be easily dislodged by both drops kinetic energy and surface flow stresses. Larger and finer soils particles need higher energy to be dislodged and it could be due to the robustness of particles and cohesion forces respectively.

7. Acknowledgements

The Commonwealth Scholarship Commission in the UK is acknowledged for supporting the research.

References

- [1] World Bank, "Safe, Clean and Affordable Transport for Development," WB Group's Transport Business Strategy, 2008.
- [2] M. P. N. Burrow, H. Evdorides, G. S. Ghataora, R. Petts and M. S. Snaith, "The evidence for rural road technology in low-income countries," in *Proceedings of the ICE*, London, 2016, vol. 169, TR6, pp. 366-377.
- [3] A. Faiz, "The Promises of Rural Roads. Review of the Role of Low-Volume Roads in Rural Connectivity, Poverty Reduction, Crisis Management and Livability," *TRB Low Volume Roads Committee*, Circular E-C 167, 2012.
- [4] J. Riverson, J. Gaviria and S. Thriscutt, "Rural Roads in Sub-Sahara Africa: Lessons from World Bank Experience," *Technical Paper*, no. WTP 141, Washington DC, World Bank, 1991.
- [5] J. Cook, R. Petts, C. Visser and A. Yiu, "The Contribution of Rural Transport to Achieve Sustainable Development Goals," Research Community for Access Partnership & Partnerships on Sustainable, Low Carbon Transport, 2017.
- [6] World Factbook, "Field listing: Roadways" [Online]. Available: https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/fields/2085.html, 2007.
- [7] S. Leguédois and Y. Le Bissonnais, "Size Fractions Resulting from an Aggregate Stability Test, Interill Detachmnt and Transport," *J. E. Surface Processes and Landforms*, vol. 29, pp. 1117-1129, 2004.
- [8] R. P. C. Morgan, "Field Studies of Rainsplash Erosion," J. Earth Surface Processes, vol. 3, pp. 295-299, 1978.
- [9] PIA Kinnell, "Raindrop-impact-induced erosion processes and prediction: review," *J. Hyd. P.*, vol. 19, pp. 2815-2844, 2005.
- [10] K. Nanko, S. Mizugaki, Y. Onda, "Estimation of soil splash detachment rates on the forest floor of an unmanaged Japan cypress plantation based on field measurements of through fall drop sizes and velocities," *Cat.*, vol. 72, pp. 348-361, 2008.
- [11] R. P. C. Morgan, D. D. V. Morgan and H. J. Finney, "A Predictive Model for the Assessment of Soil Erosion Risk," *JAER*, vol. 30, pp. 245-253, 1984.
- [12] R. B. Bryan, "Soil erodibility and processes of water erosion on hillslope," J. Geomorphol., vol. 32, pp. 385-415, 2000.
- [13] J. Poesen and J. Savat, "Detachment and Transport of Loose Sediment by Raindrop Splash. Part 2: Detachability and Transportability Measurements," *Catena*, vol. 8, pp. 19-41, 1981.
- [14] J. Savat and J. Poesen, "Detachment and Transportation of Loose Sediment by Raindrop Splash, Part 1: The Calculation of Absolute Data on Detachability and Transportability," *Catena*, vol. 8, pp. 1-17, 1981.
- [15] R. P. C. Morgan, J. N. Quinton, R. E. Smith, G. Govers, J. W. A. Poesen, K. Auerswald, G. Chisci, D. Torri, M. E. Styczen and A. J. V. Folly, "The European soil erosion model: documentation and user guide," Cranfield University, 1998.
- [16] J. D Pelletier, "Fluvial and slope-wash erosion of soil-mantled landscapes: detachment or transport limited?" *ESPL*, 2011.
- [17] K. H. Alfsen, M. A. De Franco, S. Glomsrød and J. Torgeir, "The cost of soil erosion in Nicaragua," J. Ecological Economics, vol. 16, pp. 129-145, 1996.
- [18] M. Ali and G. Sterk, "Availability and performance of sediment detachment and transport functions for overland flow conditions," *J. Hydrol. Sciences*, vol. 60, no. 9, pp. 1550-1565, 2015.
- [19] C. Salles, J. Poesen and G. Govers, "Statistical and physical analysis of soil detachment by raindrop impact: Rain erosivity indices and threshold energy," *J. Water Resources Research*, vol. 36, no. 9, pp. 2721-2729, 2000.
- [20] B. Wang, G. H. Zhang, Y. Y. Shi, X. C. Zhang, "Soil detachment by overland flow under different vegetation restoration models in the Loess Plateau of China," *Catena*, vol. 116, pp. 51 – 59, 2014.
- [21] S. Yariv, "Comments on the Mechanism of Soil Detachment by Rainfall," J. Geoderma, vol. 15, pp. 393-399, 1976.
- [22] J. Boardman and D. A. Robinson, "Soil erosion, climatic vagary and agricultural change on the Downs around Lewes and Brighton, autumn 1982," *J. Applied Geography*, vol. 5, pp. 243-258, 1985.

- [23] B. Barthès and E. Roose, "Aggregate stability as an indicator of soil susceptibility to runoff and erosion; validation at several levels," *Catena*, vol. 47, pp. 133-149, 2002.
- [24] M. A. Nearing, G. N. Foster, L. J. Lane and S. C. Finkner, "A Process Based Soil Erosion Model for USDA-Water Erosion Prediction Project Technology," *Trans. ASAE*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 1587-1593, 1989.
- [25] T. A. Cochrane and D. C. Flanagan, "Detachment in a Simulated Rill," ASAE, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 111-119, 1996.
- [26] M.L.R.R.B-Minnesota Local Road Research Board, "Erosion Control Handbook for Local Roads," 2003.
- [27] P. G. Jungerius, J. Matundura and J. A. M. Van de Ancker, "Road Construction and Gully Erosion in West Pokot, Kenya," *J. Earth Surface Proc and Landforms*, vol. 27, pp. 1237-1247, 2002.
- [28] G. I. Nwankwor, U. P. Udoka, B. C. Egboka and A. I. Opara, "The Mechanics of Civil –Works Induced Gully Erosion: Applications to Development of Preventive Measures in South Eastern Nigeria," J.A.E.E.S., vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 60-65, 2015.
- [29] W. P. Carey and A. Simon, "Physical basis and potential estimation techniques for soil erosion parameters in the Precipitation-Runoff Modelling System (PRMS)," U.S. Geological Survey, W R Investigations Report 84 42 18, 1984.
- [30] L. T. Zhang, Z. L. Gao, Z. B. Li and H. W. Tian, "Downslope runoff and erosion response of typical engineered landform to variable inflow rate patterns from upslope," *J. Natural Hazards*, vol. 80, pp. 775-796, 2016.
- [31] A. Knapen, J. Poesen, G. Govers, G. Gyssels and J. Nachtergaele, "Resistance of soils to concentrated flow erosion: A review," *J. Earth-Science Reviews*, vol. 80, pp. 75-109, 2007.
- [32] I. Haghighi, C. Chevalier, M. Duc, S. Guédon and P. Reiffsteck, "Improvement of Hole Erosion Test and Results on Reference Soils," *J. Geotech and Geoenvir Eng.*, vol. 139, no. 2, pp. 330-339, 2013.
- [33] J. M. Reichert, M. J. Schafer, E. A. Cassol and L. D. Norton, "Interrill and rill erosion on a tropical sandy loam soil affected by tillage and consolidation," *10th Int. Soil Cons. Org. Meeting*, PU and USDA-ARS- NSE. Lab., May 24-29, 1999, 2001.
- [34] H. B. Nagaraj, B. Reesha, M. V. Sravan and M. R. Suresh, "Correlation of compaction characteristics of natural soils with modified plastic limit," J. Transport. Geotechnics, vol. 2, pp. 65-77, 2015.
- [35] M. A. Nearing, J. M. Bradford and S. C. Parker, "Soil Detachment by Shallow Flow at Low Slopes," *Soil Sc. Society American J.*, vol. 55, pp. 339-344, 1991.
- [36] G. H. Zhang, G. B. Liu, K. M Tang, X. C. Zhang, "Flow Detachment of Soils under Different Land Uses in the Loess Plateau of China," *J. Trans. ASABE*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 883-890, 2008.
- [37] Z. W. Li, G. H. Zhang, R. Geng and H. Wang, "Rill erodibility as influenced by soil and land use in a small watershed of the Loess Plateau, China," *J. Biosystems Eng.*, vol. 129, pp. 248-257, 2015.
- [38] J. Poesen, J. Nachtergaele, G. Verstraeten and C. Valentin, "Gully erosion and environmental change: importance and research needs," *Catena*, vol. 50, pp. 91-133, 2003.
- [39] G. T Tengbeh, "Effect of Grass Roots on Shear Strength Variations with Moisture," J. Soil Tec., vol. 6, pp. 287-295, 1993.
- [40] V. Chowdary, G. Ramulu, S. Shankar and C. S. R. K. Prasad, "Influence of unbound material properties on rutting potential of low volume roads," *J. Elixir Cement and Concrete Composites*, vol. 42, pp. 6377-6382, 2012.
- [41] K. V. Dubé, W. F. Megahan, M. McCalmon, "Washington Road Surface Erosion Model," Washington State: DNR, 2004.
- [42] L. Cao, K. Zhang, H. Dai and Y. Liang, "Modelling Interill Erosion on Unpaved Roads in the Loess Plateau of China," J. Land. Degrad. Develop., 2013.
- [43] J. Arnaez, T. Lasanta, P. Ruiz-Flaño and L. Ortigosa, "Factors affecting runoff and erosion under simulated rainfall in Mediterranean vineyards," J. Soil and Tillage Research, vol. 93, pp. 324-334, 2007.
- [44] R. B. Foltz and E. R. Burroughs, "Sediment production from forest roads with wheel ruts," in *Proceedings from Watershed Planning and Analysis in Action*, Durango, CO, July 9–11, 1990, ASCE: Reston, VA, pp. 266–275.
- [45] G. Liu, F. X. Tian, D. N. Warrington, S. Q. Zheng and Q. Zhang, "Efficacy of Grass for Mitigating Runoff and Erosion from an Artificial Loessial Earthen Road," J. Trans. ASABE, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 119-125, 2010.
- [46] R. B. Foltz, H. Rhee and W. J. Elliot, "Modeling changes in rill erodibility and critical shear stress on native surface roads," *J. Hydrological Processes*, vol. 22, pp. 4783-4788, 2008.
- [47] M. E. Obi and F. K. Salako, "Rainfall parameters influencing erosivity in Southeastern Nigeria," *Catena*, vol. 24, pp. 275-287, 1995.
- [48] L. Cao, K. Zhang and W. Zhang, "Detachment of road surface soil by flowing water," Cat., vol. 76, pp. 155-162, 2009.

- [49] G. H. Zhang, B. Y. Liu, M. A. Nearing, C. H. Huang and K. L. Zhang, "Soil Detachment by Shallow Flow," J. Trans. ASAE, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 1-8, 2001.
- [50] M. A. Nearing, J. R. Simanton, L. D. Norton, S. G. Bulyigin and J. Stone, "Surface Erosion by Surface Water Flow on a Stony Semi- Arid Hillslope," J. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, vol. 24, pp. 677-684, 1999.
- [51] L. T. Zhang, Z. L. Gao, S. W. Yang, Y. H. Li and H. W. Tian, "Dynamic processes of soil erosion by runoff on engineered landforms derived from expressway construction: A case study of typical steep spoil heap," *Cat.*, vol. 128, pp. 108-121, 2015.
- [52] R. M. Iverson, "Processes of Accelerated Pluvial Erosion on Desert Hillslopes Modified by Vehicular Traffic," *J. Earth Surface Proc.*, vol. 5, pp. 369-388, 1980.
- [53] A. D. Ziegler, T. W. Giambelluca, M. A. Nullet, R. A. Sutherland, S. Yarnasarn, J. Pinthong, P. Preechapanya and S. Jaiaree, "Toward understanding the cumulative impacts of roads in upland agricultural watersheds northern Thailand," *J. Agric. Econ. Envir.*, vol. 104, pp. 145-158, 2004.
- [54] P. Paige-Green, "Strength and Behavior of Materials for Low-Volume Roads as Affected by Moisture and Density," *J. Transport Res. Record*, vol. 1819, no. 2, pp. 104-109, 2003.
- [55] F. Brunori, M. C Penzo and D. T Firenze, "Soil Shear Strength: Its Measurement and Soil Detachment," *Catena*, vol. 16, pp. 59-71, 1989.
- [56] G. J. Hanson and S. L. Hunt, "Lessons Learned Using Laboratory Jet Method to Measure Soil Erodibility of Compacted Soils," *J. Applied Eng. Agriculture*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 305-312, 2007.
- [57] J. L. Briaud, "Case Histories in Soil and Rock Erosion: Woodrow Wilson Bridge, Brazos RiverMeander, Normandy Cliffs, and New Orleans Levees," The 9th Ralph B. Peck Lecture, Reston, Virginia, *J.G.G.E.*, vol. 134, no. 10, pp. 1-27, 2008.
- [58] E. I. Ekwue, "The Effects of Soil Organic Matter Content, Rainfall Duration and Aggregate Size on Soil Detachment," J. Soil Technology, vol. 4, pp. 197-207, 1991.
- [59] D. Torri and J. Poesen, "The Effect of Soil Surface Slope on Raindrop Detachment," Cat., vol. 19, pp. 561-578, 1992.
- [60] K. Behak, "Performance of Full-Scale Test Section of Low-Volume Road with Reinforcing Base Layer of Soil–Lime" *J. Transport Research Board*, vol. 2204, pp. 158-164, 2011.
- [61] R. S. B. Greene and P. B. Hairsine, "Elementary Processes of Soil Water Interaction and Thresholds in Soil Surface Dynamics: A Review," *J. Earth Surface Proc. Landforms*, vol. 29, pp. 1077-1091, 2004.
- [62] C. E. Ramos–Scharrón and L. H. MacDonald, "Measurement and Prediction of Sediment Production from Unpaved Roads," *J. Earth Surface Proc. Landforms*, vol. 30, pp. 1283-1304, 2005.
- [63] S. S. Kukal, H. S. Sur and S. S. Gil, "Factors responsible for soil erosion hazard in submontane Punjab, India," *J. Soil Use Manag.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 38-44, 1991.
- [64] D. Favis-Mortlock and J. Boardman, "Nonlinear responses of soil erosion to climate change: a modelling study on the UK South Downs," *Catena*, vol. 25, pp. 365-387, 1995.
- [65] R. H. Jones, "Frost heave of roads," Quarterly J. Eng. Geology, vol. 13, pp. 77-86, 1980.
- [66] R. Van Klavern, K. McCool, "Freeze–Thaw, Water Tension Effects on Soil Detachment," *Soil Sc. Soc. American J.*, vol. 74, pp. 1327-1338, 2010.
- [67] P. Paige-Green, "Dispersive and erodible soils fundamental differences," *Problem soils in SA conference*, Midrand, Gauteng, 2008, November 3rd 4th Pretoria, CSIR Built Environment, pp. 59-65.