Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> World Congress on Civil, Structural, and Environmental Engineering (CSEE 2025) Barcelona, Spain - April 13 - 15, 2025 Paper No. ICGRE 110 DOI: 10.11159/icgre25.110

## Underground Hydrogen Storage for Cleaner and Sustainable Future

Chatura Dodangoda<sup>1</sup>, Ranjith PG<sup>1</sup>, Asadul Haque<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Monash University Melbourne, Victoria 3800, Australia chatura.dodangodage@monash.edu; ranjith.pg@monash.edu asadul.haque@monash.edu

## **Extended Abstract**

Global carbon emissions continue to increase despite the efforts to decarbonise.CO<sub>2</sub> emissions increased by 1.5% in 2022 relative to 2021, reaching 36.1 GtCO<sub>2</sub> globally [1]. So, more efficient decarbonising efforts should be implemented. Using hydrogen as a clean energy carrier is one emerging path, although it has several challenges. Because of hydrogen's lowest density, storage is one of its main challenges. Gaseous hydrogen storage requires a higher volume, liquid phase storage requires higher energy, and solid-state methods are still in their infancy [2]. Gaseous storage is the most common and popular method because of the low energy requirement and the industry's novelty. Underground hydrogen storage (UHS) is a potential method that has emerged to overcome the challenge of high-volume requirements. It also benefits from higher storage pressures, longer operational lifetime, higher safety, lower investment costs and a smaller surface footprint [3, 4]. The stored hydrogen can be withdrawn and utilised conveniently based on the application type and duration. Potential UHS locations include depleted hydrocarbon reservoirs, aquifers, and rock and salt caverns. However, several challenges exist, including hydrogen loss due to solubility and bio- and geochemical reactions with underground residual phases like hydrocarbons, brine, and minerals. Because of the reactivity, petrophysical and petrographic properties can be changed, affecting storage capacity and diffusion rates. Very little research has been done in the literature to understand the mineralogical variations in an underground system, and some results are contradictory. It was found that hydrogen has little impact on silicate minerals and high reactivity with clay minerals and calcite [5, 6]. However, some have found no interaction between calcite and clay minerals [7, 8] but a possibility of reactions based on the presence of bacteria [9, 10].

Focusing on the above research gap and contradictions, this study is pioneering in its aim to understand the feasibility of UHS by addressing challenges on reactivity and petrographic and petrophysical variation of the reservoir and cap rock after the injection and storage of hydrogen. This investigates the impact of hydrogen on the reactivity of reservoir rock and caprock and how this influences long-term storage behaviour, emphasising the microstructural and mineralogical changes resulting from fluid-rock and fluid-fluid interactions induced by hydrogen injection. A high-pressure saturation chamber is developed to replicate a typical hydrogen storage system and to understand the reactivity of hydrogen with the reservoir and cap rock. Actual field core samples of sandstone and claystone were collected from the Western Australian core library. They will be used for the experiment series under 10 MPa and 80°C conditions to mimic the actual field conditions. XRD, SEM, Micro-CT, and ICP-OES analysis will be done to analyse the powder samples, thin slices and liquid solutions before and after the hydrogen injection. To validate the experimental results and to predict the long-term reactivity, a PHREEQC model will be developed for the underground reservoir, quantifying the mineral reactivity and hydrogen loss.

The storage capacity and hydrogen loss due to the reactivity are crucial to measuring the efficiency of the storage system. So, this study will conduct a detailed chemical analysis on a nano-micro and meso scale with different underground conditions and parameters, focusing on experimental and modelling methods.

## References

- [1] Z. Liu, Z. Deng, S. Davis, and P. Ciais, "Monitoring global carbon emissions in 2022," *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 205-206, 2023/04/01 2023, doi: 10.1038/s43017-023-00406-z.
- [2] C. Tarhan and M. A. Çil, "A study on hydrogen, the clean energy of the future: Hydrogen storage methods," *Journal of Energy Storage*, vol. 40, p. 102676, 2021/08/01/ 2021, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2021.102676</u>.
- [3] C. Dodangoda, P. G. Ranjith, and A. Haque, "Exploring hydrogen storage potential in depleted Western Australian hydrocarbon reservoirs: A petrophysical and petrographic analysis," *Fuel*, vol. 358, p. 129951, 2024/02/15/ 2024, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2023.129951</u>.
- [4] C. Dodangoda, P. G. Ranjith, and A. Haque, "Unlocking the potential of underground hydrogen storage for clean energy solutions," *Geomechanics and Geophysics for Geo-Energy and Geo-Resources*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 130, 2024/08/01 2024, doi: 10.1007/s40948-024-00782-w.
- [5] Z. Bo, L. Zeng, Y. Chen, and Q. Xie, "Geochemical reactions-induced hydrogen loss during underground hydrogen storage in sandstone reservoirs," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 46, no. 38, pp. 19998-20009, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.03.116.
- [6] K. Labus and R. Tarkowski, "Modeling hydrogen rock brine interactions for the Jurassic reservoir and cap rocks from Polish Lowlands," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 47, no. 20, pp. 10947-10962, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.01.134.
- [7] A. A. Hassanpouryouzband, K Cowen, T Thaysen, E. M Heinemann, N Butler, I. B Wilkinson, M Edlmann, K, "Geological Hydrogen Storage: Geochemical Reactivity of Hydrogen with Sandstone Reservoirs," ACS Energy Lett, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 2203-2210, Jul 8 2022, doi: 10.1021/acsenergylett.2c01024.
- [8] A. Al-Harbi, M. Al-Marri, G. Carchini, M. Saad, and I. A. Hussein, "Hydrogen Underground Storage in Silica-Clay Shales: Experimental and Density Functional Theory Investigation," ACS Omega, vol. 8, no. 48, pp. 45906-45913, 2023/12/05 2023, doi: 10.1021/acsomega.3c06644.
- [9] C. Dodangoda and R. P. G, "Quantification of hydrogen depletion and mineral reactivity in underground hydrogen storage reservoirs," *Gas Science and Engineering*, vol. 126, p. 205318, 2024/06/01/ 2024, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgsce.2024.205318</u>.
- [10] J. Tremosa, R. Jakobsen, and Y. Le Gallo, "Assessing and modeling hydrogen reactivity in underground hydrogen storage: A review and models simulating the Lobodice town gas storage," (in English), *Frontiers in Energy Research*, Original Research vol. 11, 2023-April-10 2023, doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2023.1145978.