Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Energy Harvesting, Storage, and Transfer (EHST 2025)
July 15, 2025 - July 17, 2025 | Imperial College London Conference, London, United Kingdom
Paper No. 101
DOI: 10.11159/ehst25.101

Investigation on Output Power Enhancement with Offline Reconfiguration for Non-Uniform Aging Photovoltaic Array to Maximise Economic Benefit.

Mohammed S Alkahtani[1]
[1]Department of Electrical Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Bisha, Bisha 61922, P.O. Box 551, Saudi Arabia.
mskahtani@ub.edu.sa

Abstract **-** There are several non-uniform effects on photovoltaic (PV) modules related to aging in a PV array. These subsequently bring about non-uniform operating parameters with individual PV modules, causing a variance in the PV array performance. The current study undertakes study to establish and positively affect the efficacy of a non-uniform aged 4 × 6 PV array, with a commercially available MSX60 photovoltaic module at 1000 W/m² (monocrystalline). This paper proposes a gene evolution algorithm (GEA) for offline reconfiguration that can provide more significant output power compared to non-uniformly aged PV arrays through repositioning instead of replacing aged PV modules, which will help lower maintenance expenses. This reconfiguration requires data input from the PV module's electrical properties in order to select ideal reconfiguration setups. The outcomes show that greater output power can be facilitated through a non-uniformly aged PV array and used on many different PV array sizes.

Keywords**:** solar photovoltaic; rearrangement; non-uniform aging; reconfiguration; Gene Evaluation Algorithm.

1. Introduction

Energy resources and demand are essential for the advancement and sustainability of growing economies. Fossil fuels serve as the primary energy source for the global economy; yet, these resources are limited and depleting swiftly, resulting in detrimental effects on the broader ecology. Global energy consumption has surged by 3000% in the 21st century, highlighting the growing scarcity of renewable supplies. As energy demands escalate, the adverse environmental repercussions are concurrently intensifying. Greenhouse gases are emitted into the atmosphere via fossil fuels, exacerbating perilous climate change. Consequently, there is an urgent necessity to identify a renewable energy source that is non-polluting to satisfy contemporary global demands. The solar energy can be harnessed through several methods, including photovoltaic (PV) technology, which converts solar energy into electricity. Photovoltaic panels convert sunshine into electricity, and solar energy is increasingly gaining appeal and applicability as a sustainable alternative to conventional energy production methods. The performance of photovoltaic devices is significantly influenced by shade levels. The electrical properties of the chosen PV modules in the array were verified to be equal. These modules exhibit distinct alterations under inhomogeneous insolation, leading to mismatch losses in a photovoltaic system and contributing to photovoltaic aging. To optimize efficiency and energy yield, the design of a photovoltaic system must evaluate performance in various climatic circumstances, including shading, dust accumulation, avian excrement, and structural defects. The aging process affects the energy output and electrical efficiency of a photovoltaic system; if the impact is significant, the system will fail to reach its optimal payback threshold [1]. Due to climatic conditions, the I-V curves deviate from their conventional form, resulting in a substantial decrease in PV array output power [2, 3]. Aging due to external causes is a substantial issue in extensive solar photovoltaic arrays. The influence of external factors on the power output of a solar PV system has been extensively examined in previous research [4, 5].

Likewise, power production losses due to aging have garnered much research attention [6, 7]. Environmental factors may reveal numerous local maximum power points (MPPs). The effective monitoring of global maximum power point (MPP) is hindered by local MPP, resulting in suboptimal performance, the formation of hot patches, and accelerated degradation of cells and modules [8, 9]. To provide optimal performance and minimize mismatch losses in a PV system under aging conditions, many connecting topologies for PV modules have been proposed [10, 11]. A multitude of simulation experiments for diverse interconnection configurations of photovoltaic modules were conducted to analyze the electrical behavior of PV modules [12, 13]. Additionally, researchers have analyzed basic interconnection methods, including series and parallel configurations (SP), and their effects on bypass diodes [14, 15]. The power loss in series-connected photovoltaic modules due to mismatching can be alleviated by employing anti-parallel bypass diodes [16]. Moreover, the parallel arrangement had a more pronounced effect under mismatching conditions [17]. An adequate power conditioning system and an appropriate DC-DC converter are essential for managing high current output at low voltage levels in parallel connectivity configurations [18]. Previous literature has demonstrated many connectivity systems, including series-parallel (SP), Total-cross-tied (TCT), Bridge-linked (BL), and Honeycomb configuration (HC), as illustrated in Figure 1. An ideal Sudoku structure was described in the references, which exhibited the drawback of a complex arrangement due to a significant increase in wiring. Piccoli [6]. proposed an alternative methodology that would optimize the utilization of building-integrated photovoltaic (BIPV) systems. A virtual reality environment was employed to analyze the PV modules and adjacent barriers; nevertheless, it did not provide further understanding of the real-time issues associated with different PV array configurations [19].



Figure 1: Twenty-five photovoltaic panels are interconnected in (a) series; (b) total current; (c) bypass arrangements.

The study proposed an offline rearrangement method to enhance the energy efficiency of aging photovoltaic (PV) systems by analyzing probable rearrangements of PV modules in accordance with the maximum power point (MPP)[20]. Additionally, employed the Munkres algorithm to assess the ideal configuration for balancing and mitigating the aging process of the switches inside the switching matrix. Issues related to the reorganization of photovoltaic array modules of varying sizes were demonstrated to be efficiently addressed through alternative ways. Conversely, these are exceedingly complex from a computational perspective and require significant time, as it is necessary to explore every potential restructuring alternative [21].

This work aims to propose a method for repositioning aging photovoltaic modules to mitigate the detrimental influence on the photovoltaic system, utilizing indoor experimentation. This will enhance the output capacity of a photovoltaic array, and for the present study requirements, the algorithm can swiftly identify the ideal reconfiguration.

2. Approach

2.1 Genetic Algorithm (GA) Process for PV Optimization.

Employing GEA enables the identification of the configuration that yields the maximum power from potential connection patterns, while minimizing PV module substitutions. This algorithm's advantages encompass its capacity to do an arbitrary local search to a certain degree. Simultaneously, mutation techniques can expedite convergence to an optimal solution as iterations approach a superior outcome within a certain timeframe. Moreover, precocity is diminished by the presence of several practical solutions. In GEA applications, each configuration must be represented as a numerical row, functioning as a chromosome, while the power output of each configuration is evaluated by a fitness function. Pre-prepared chromosomes constitute the inputs for the fitness function, which the GEA subsequently use to determine the chromosomes picked as parents for the subsequent generation [22]. Consequently, intermittent activation and deactivation of the GEA-calculated PV array module is required, coupled with minimal substitutes.

2.2 Mathematical Representation of PV Power.

The power output of the PV array is governed by the following equation:

$$PV = \frac{pv_w}{\sum_{j-1}^{n_{pv}} s_{n(j)} v_{oc}} \tag{1}$$

$$j = 1,2, \dots, n \times m \tag{2}$$

where:

- Sn represents the short-circuit current per module,
- Voc is the open-circuit voltage,
- PV denotes the total power output, and
- npv is the number of modules within the system.

The GEA optimization dynamically restructures the PV array by modifying the connections between modules, aiming to achieve an optimal PV value. Consequently, GEA aims to optimize the PV value, as illustrated in figure 2. To elucidate section (VII) in Table 1. The remaining slots are established according to the relative placements of the parental models. For instance, the chromosome may be represented as the sequence {1, 2, 3, ..., 46}.

2.3 Structural Representation of 4×6 PV Array.

In a system where PV modules are arranged in a 4×6 PV array, the configuration is represented as follows:

$$n \times m = \begin{bmatrix} PV_{11} & PV_{12} & PV_{13} & PV_{14} & PV_{15} & PV_{16} \\ PV_{21} & PV_{22} & PV_{23} & PV_{24} & PV_{25} & PV_{26} \\ PV_{31} & PV_{32} & PV_{33} & PV_{34} & PV_{35} & PV_{36} \\ PV_{41} & PV_{42} & PV_{43} & PV_{44} & PV_{45} & PV_{46} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3}$$

Each PV element (PV_{ij}) represents a module in the system, and its placement is optimized through the GA-based reconfiguration.

2.4 Adapting Genetic Crossover for a 4×6 PV Array.

To represent each chromosome as a 4×6 PV Array, apply crossover and mutation row or column-wise.

Parent One:

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 6 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 1 \\ 7 & 2 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 \\ 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

Parent Two:

$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 & 8 & 2 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 \\ 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

2.5 Crossover applying to the 4×6 PV Array:

Where the randomly selected offspring from parent one and two, Single-Point Crossover (Row-wise) when choosing a random crossover row between row 2 and row 3, and it will swap the lower part:

Offspring One:

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 6 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 1 \\ 7 & 2 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ \mathbf{13} & \mathbf{14} & \mathbf{15} & \mathbf{16} & \mathbf{17} & \mathbf{18} \\ \mathbf{19} & \mathbf{20} & \mathbf{21} & \mathbf{22} & \mathbf{23} & \mathbf{24} \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}$$

And ***offspring two*** from parent two is:

$$C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 & 8 & 2 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ \mathbf{13} & \mathbf{14} & \mathbf{15} & \mathbf{16} & \mathbf{17} & \mathbf{18} \\ \mathbf{19} & \mathbf{20} & \mathbf{21} & \mathbf{22} & \mathbf{23} & \mathbf{24} \end{bmatrix} \tag{7}$$

2.6 Mutation in a 4×6 PV Array.

Subsequently, access the group from the second mutation point of parent one in equations (4) and (5), and this can be done by swapping PV module positions:

Mutation: Swap (8 ↔ 1) in Offspring One

$$C_1' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 6 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 8 \\ 7 & 2 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 \\ 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \end{bmatrix} \tag{8}$$

The GEA converged in **10 iterations**, achieving a final fitness value of 302, representing the total sum of the PV panel identifiers in the optimized 4×6 matrix configuration. In Figure 2 value is sufficient to achieve the optimal reconfiguration for exhibiting heterogeneous ageing.



Figure 2. Displayed the GEA procedure of PV array reconfiguration

3. Result

The To demonstrate validation of the proposed algorithm, the PV sizes will be evaluated 4 × 6 PV arrays. The maximum power outputs from these PV configurations, both before and after arrangements, are determined by the employment of a PV array model constructed in MATLAB.

Table 1: Photovoltaic array **Before** reconfiguration.

0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.5 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.5 p.u.
0.6 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.
0.9 p.u.	0.8 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.5 p.u.
0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.8 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.6 p.u.

Table 2: Photovoltaic array **After** reconfiguration.

0.9 p.u.	0.5 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.5 p.u.	0.9 p.u.
0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.6 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.
0.9 p.u.	0.8 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.5 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.
0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.8 p.u.	0.6 p.u.	0.9 p.u.	0.9 p.u.

Table 3: The parameters of the 4 x 6 PV array before to and after arrangement.

Parameters	**Before**	**After**	**Power Improvement**	**Computing Time (s)**
Current $_{GMPP}$	11.8 A	[1] 15.73 A	-	-
Voltage $_{GMPP}$	89 V	[2] 68 V	2.08%	0.015625
Power $_{GMPP}$	1055.4 W	[3] 1077.5 W	-	-



Figure 2: The 4 x 6 photovoltaic array presents power output figures before to (a) and after (b) arrangements.

The findings indicate that the suggested algorithm is applicable to various sizes of PV arrays in a random manner. The results demonstrate that the algorithm enhanced maximum power output in this case. Furthermore, the algorithm mitigated the influence of the bypass diodes by reorganizing the arrangement of individual PV modules inside each string, according to their respective aging factors. This reduced the effect of mismatch losses across PV modules in any designated string, while voltage limitations were not addressed. This has been addressed in other literature. The suggested algorithm employs a hierarchical and iterative sorting method for photovoltaic modules. The P−V curves from illustrated in Figures 3, indicate that the impact of PV module mismatch diminished before and after arrangement. This exemplifies that the comparison between the maximum power before 1055.4 W and the maximum power after of 1077.5 W demonstrates significant improvement, concluding that the rearrangement of the PV array is a crucial way for enhancing system efficiency and reducing operational costs.

4. Conclusion

This research focuses on non-uniform aging mechanisms in photovoltaic arrays. The proposed method for the reconfiguration of photovoltaic arrays aims to mitigate the impact of non-uniform aging in the arrays while enhancing power output and eliminating the necessity for replacing aged photovoltaic modules. The method systematically organizes the PV modules in a repeating and hierarchical manner to alleviate the incompatibility caused by non-uniform aging among the modules. The output power rose by 2.09% for the 4 × 6 PV array, as illustrated in Figure 3. Consequently, it is recommended that the proposed method for reconfiguring photovoltaic modules can enhance the maximum power output of photovoltaic systems while utilizing fewer relays than existing online methods for photovoltaic array reconfiguration. The optimal reconfiguration plan is determined by the associated costs and benefits. Consequently, identifying the aging map of a photovoltaic plant is essential for devising an efficient reconfiguration strategy that would enhance profitability. The labor-related cost of replacing PV modules should also be determined. By evaluating the figures, the PV plant owner can determine whether to proceed with the proposed reconfiguration, provided that the profit increase from enhanced power output exceeds the costs associated with the workforce's efforts. Consequently, the primary advantage of the proposed technique is to recommend appropriate repositioning of photovoltaic modules exclusively via a labor force.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the Deanship of Graduate Studies and Scientific Research at University of Bisha for supporting this work through the Fast-Track Research Support Program.

References

[1] A. A. Mansur, M. R. Amin, and K. K. Islam, "Performance comparison of mismatch power loss minimization techniques in series-parallel PV array configurations," *Energies*, vol. 12, no. 5, p. 874, 2019.

[2] G. S. Krishna and T. Moger, "Reconfiguration strategies for reducing partial shading effects in photovoltaic arrays: State of the art," *Solar Energy*, vol. 182, pp. 429-452, 2019.

[3] R. Pachauri, R. Singh, A. Gehlot, R. Samakaria, and S. Choudhury, "Experimental analysis to extract maximum power from PV array reconfiguration under partial shading conditions," *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 109-130, 2019.

[4] M. Jaszczur, J. Teneta, Q. Hassan, E. Majewska, and R. Hanus, "An experimental and numerical investigation of photovoltaic module temperature under varying environmental conditions," *Heat Transfer Engineering*, vol. 42, no. 3-4, pp. 354-367, 2021.

[5] A. P. Singh and O. Singh, "Curved vs. flat solar air heater: Performance evaluation under diverse environmental conditions," *Renewable Energy*, vol. 145, pp. 2056-2073, 2020.

[6] A. Azizi. "Impact of the aging of a photovoltaic module on the performance of a grid-connected system," *Solar Energy*, vol. 174, pp. 445-454, 2018.

[7] E. I. Batzelis, P. S. Georgilakis, and S. A. Papathanassiou, "Energy models for photovoltaic systems under partial shading conditions: a comprehensive review," *IET Renewable Power Generation*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 340-349, 2015.

[8] F. Belhachat and C. Larbes, "Comprehensive review on global maximum power point tracking techniques for PV systems subjected to partial shading conditions," *Solar Energy*, vol. 183, pp. 476-500, 2019.

[9] M. Kermadi, Z. Salam, J. Ahmed, and E. M. Berkouk, "A high-performance global maximum power point tracker of PV system for rapidly changing partial shading conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 2236-2245, 2020.

[10] M. Alkahtani, Z. Wu, C. S. Kuka, M. S. Alahammad, and K. Ni, "A Novel PV array reconfiguration algorithm approach to optimising power generation across non-uniformly aged PV arrays by merely repositioning," *J*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 5, 2020.

[11] M. Alkahtani, Y. Hu, Z. Wu, C. S. Kuka, M. S. Alhammad, and C. Zhang, "Gene evaluation algorithm for reconfiguration of medium and large size photovoltaic arrays exhibiting non-uniform aging," *Energies*, vol. 13, no. 8, p. 1921, 2020.

[12] S. Malathy and R. Ramaprabha, "Comprehensive analysis on the role of array size and configuration on energy yield of photovoltaic systems under shaded conditions," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 49, pp. 672-679, 2015.

[13] B. Boumaaraf, H. Boumaaraf, M. E.-A. Slimani, S. Tchoketch_Kebir, M. S. Ait-Cheikh, and K. Touafek, "Performance evaluation of a locally modified PV module to a PV/T solar collector under climatic conditions of semi-arid region," *Mathematics and computers in simulation*, vol. 167, pp. 135-154, 2020.

[14] A. Saha, "Effects and performance indicators evaluation of PV array topologies on PV systems operation under partial shading conditions," in *2019 Southern African Universities Power Engineering Conference/Robotics and Mechatronics/Pattern Recognition Association of South Africa (SAUPEC/RobMech/PRASA)*, 2019: IEEE, pp. 322-327.

[15] A. A. Desai and S. Mikkili, "Modeling and analysis of PV configurations to extract maximum power under partial shading conditions," *CSEE Journal of Power and Energy Systems,* vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1670-1683, 2020.
[16] A. K. Tripathi, M. Aruna, and C. S. Murthy, "Performance of a PV panel under different shading strengths," *International Journal of Ambient Energy,* vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 248-253, 2019.
[17] F. Belhachat and C. Larbes, "Modeling, analysis and comparison of solar photovoltaic array configurations under partial shading conditions," *Solar energy,* vol. 120, pp. 399-418, 2015.
[18] V. Karthikeyan, S. Kumaravel, and G. Gurukumar, "High step-up gain DC–DC converter with switched capacitor and regenerative boost configuration for solar PV applications," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs,* vol. 66, no. 12, pp. 2022-2026, 2019.
[19] I. Nasiruddin, S. Khatoon, M. F. Jalil, and R. Bansal, "Shade diffusion of partial shaded PV array by using odd-even structure," *Solar Energy,* vol. 181, pp. 519-529, 2019.
[20] Y. Hu, J. Zhang, P. Li, D. Yu, and L. Jiang, "Non-uniform aged modules reconfiguration for large-scale PV array," *IEEE Transactions on Device and Materials Reliability,* vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 560-569, 2017.
[21] P. Udenze, Y. Hu, H. Wen, X. Ye, and K. Ni, "A reconfiguration method for extracting maximum power from non-uniform aging solar panels," *Energies,* vol. 11, no. 10, p. 2743, 2018.
[22] M. Alkahtani. "Investigating fourteen countries to maximum the economy benefit by using offline reconfiguration for medium scale pv array arrangements," *Energies,* vol. 14, no. 1, p. 59, 2020.