Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Advances in Energy Research and Applications (ICAERA'21) Seoul, South Korea Virtual Conference - November 24- 26, 2021 Paper No. 107 DOI: 10.11159/icaera21.107

Optimization of PV-Solar Panel Cooling System Performances via Numerical Investigation using a Composite PCM-Metal matrix

Naomie Beolle Songwe Selabi^{1*}, Arnaud Regis Kamgue Lenwoue², Lesly Dasilva Wandji Djouonkep^{3,4}

¹Institute of advanced materials and Nanotechnology, Wuhan University of Science and Technology,

Wuhan, 430081, China

(naobelle123@wust.edu.cn)

² Department of Petroleum Engineering, Leak Resistance & Sealing Technology Research Department, National Engineering Laboratory of Petroleum Drilling Technology, Yangtze University, Wuhan, 43010, China; (regiskamgue@yahoo.fr)

³ Department of Petroleum Engineering, Applied Chemistry in Oil and Gas fields, Yangtze University, Wuhan, 43010, China

⁴ Institute of fine organic chemistry and new organic materials, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430081, China;

(dasilvasilva3008@wust.edu.cn)

Abstract - In the conversion process of solar photovoltaic energy, the heat generated induces a rise in temperature, resulting in a significant drop of the electricity conversion efficiency for PV system. As the operating temperature plays a major role in the photovoltaic conversion process, cooling the operating surface is thus the key factor to consider in achieving higher efficiency. In this study, numerical investigation of composite Phase Change Materials (PCMs) for Photovoltaic-cooling (PV-cooling) system and a two-dimensional transient heat transfer model based on enthalpy approach were investigated. PCMs such as CaCl₂.6H₂O, paraffin wax, RT25, RT27, SP29, n-Octadecane were carefully selected, whereas copper, aluminium, steel, nickel, PVC, polystyrene, polychlorovinyl and polypropylene were used as composite materials in the numerical calculations solved using the computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) Ansys-Fluent. The numerical results were compared, and validated with similar models realised by Huang and Khanna research groups. The results showed that RT25 sphere has a good compatibility with PV-cooling system, and that the thermal conductivity has no significant influence on PV-temperature, except for large values (low thermal conductivity) plastic material. Numerical simulations showed that the temperature decrease was obvious when the PCM sphere diameter increased, implying that the diameter of the PCM spheres have great effect on PV-temperature.

Keywords: PCM, PV, composite, matrix, temperature, Storage

1. Introduction

Sudden variations in climate change caused by global warming has results in an increase in temperatures above 2 °C, which according to the 21st summit report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) will be a great fatality for the planet if it persists. During this submit in Paris, agreement were signed by 196 states, and resolutions taken to prevent the rise in temperatures beyond 2°C to limit the environmental damages.^[11] To achieve this goal, greenhouse gas emissions could be significantly reduced by employing efficient less-polluting industrial techniques on one hand, and maximizing the use of renewable energies at the expense of fossil fuels on the other. Renewable energy sources can be defined as "energy obtained from the continuous or repetitive currents on energy re-occurring in the natural environment" or as "energy flows which are replenished at the same rate as they are used".^[2] Among these energies, we distinguish biomass energy from any organic plant and animal residue, wind energy from wind, and solar energy from sun rays. Solar (thermal or photovoltaic) is the most abundant renewable energy source in our current era, and has been extensively apprehended due to its simplicity and good energy efficiency via photovoltaic cell. Photovoltaic also called solar cells are electronic devices that convert sunrays directly into electricity.^[3] In order to make photovoltaics a more mainstream and pragmatic energy source, the efficiency of solar panels need to be improve radically. In 1975, Telkes was the first to apply phase change materials technology for energy storage. He also demonstrated that the PCMs could extract or grasp the energy during their

melting/solidification processes. Aware of the enormous potential for energy that can potentially be extraction from these materials; the latter recently became very attractive for solar applications, especially photovoltaics. Amount the PV-cooling technology systems classified by Chandel and al.^[4], the PCM-PV-cooling technology is the most profitable, due to their ability to delay the temperature rise of panel without any further electricity consumption. The heat stored can be reused which further enhances the system efficiency.^[5]

Some studies on the numerical investigations of photovoltaic PCMs are summarized; Cellura and al.,^[6] made a theoretical analysis by using Comsol Multiphysics, a partial differential equations (PDEs) solver to simulate and improve the efficiency of the thermal behaviour of PV-PCM system, while Biwole and al.,^[7] used the Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) modelling software to simulate the thermo-physical properties of PCM in PV system. Here, the PCM was added at the back of the solar panel to maintain the PV cells temperature below 40 °C for 2 h. Xiang and al.,^[8] used a hydride system with PCM and air medium to cool the PV cell and increase the efficiency, where the stored energy could be used for other applications. Khanna and al.,^[9] study the effect of varying the operating conditions (wind azimuth angle i.e. wind direction, wind velocity, melting temperature of PCM and ambient temperature) on the PV-cell, while Khanna et al., used the fins in a PV-PCM system to optimize the PV-cell efficiency. They use Ansys software to study the effect of fin thinness, length and the spacing between two fins. Their system was able to maintain the PV-cell temperature below 30 °C for approximatively 4 h. Benlekkam and al.,^[10] further showed that the use of fins could improve the PV cells efficiency by 2%. Recently, Sathe and al.,^[11] reported that the increase in the inclination angle of PV-PCM system reduces the time required for melting PCM, thereby increasing the PV surface temperature. Therefore, based on this knowledge on the advances in PCMs, the aims of this work was to propose a new model with a composite PCM to cool the PV-cells in order to improve the efficiency of the PV-system. We thereby applied Ansys-Fluent software to investigate the thermo-physical properties of the PV-cooling system with composite PCMs integrated.

Nome	nclature		
δ	depth of PCM container (m)		(rad)
В	liquid fraction of PCM	ΔT	phase change zone (K)
C_p	specific heat capacity (J/kg K)	3	emissivity for long wavelength radiation
D	Dirac delta function	ηPVmodule	solar radiation to electricity conversion efficiency of PV module
F	view factor between surfaces	μ	dynamic viscosity of air (kg/ms)
g	acceleration due to gravity (m^2/s)	v	kinematic viscosity of air (m^2/s)
G	heat generation (W/m^3)	ρ	density (kg/m^3)
Gr	Grashof number	ρPV	reflectivity of the top surface of the PV module
Η	convective heat transfer coefficient ($W/m^2 K$)	σ	Stefan–Boltzmann constant ($W/m^2 K^4$)
I_T	solar radiation on tilted surface (W/m^2)	Abbreviation	
Κ	thermal conductivity ($W/m K$)	BICPV	building integrated concentrated PV
L	length of the system (m)	EVA	ethylene vinyl acetate
L_{ch}	characteristic length (m)	PCM	phase change material
L_h	latent heat (J/kg)	PV	photovoltaic
Ρ	pressure (Pa)	Subscripts	
Pr	Prandtl number of air	a	ambient
Q_L	rate of heat loss from the top surface (W/m^2)	с	critical
\widetilde{R}_e	Reynolds number	for	forced convection
S_h	solar radiation converted into heat in the system (W/m^2)	Ma	Matrix
t	time (s)	1	liquid phase
Т	temperature (K)	nat	natural convection
T_m	peak melting temperature of PCM (K)	р	РСМ
и	velocity of melted PCM (m/s)	s	solid phase
$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{W}}$	wind velocity (m/s)	t	top surface
Greek	symbols	Х	x direction
β	<i>tilt angle of the panel (rad)</i>	у	y direction
β_c	thermal expansion coefficient of PCM (/K)	-	
γ	Liquid volume fraction		

2. Experimental Model and Numerical Equation

Here four types of surfaces were involved as shown in Fig. 1. The first is the PV-panel surface (glass, silicon, teldar, EVA) in sky-blue; the second is the composite material surface in dark-orange; the third PCM surface in violet colour and and fourth the aluminium box in brown colour. The aluminium box container is a mixture of PCM and other solid materials. materials. The PCM was introduced into the solid material during it fabrication. Gamma (γ) represent the inclination angle of the system. We applied the symmetry boundary condition at the top and bottom of the aluminium box; while the backside is thermally insulated. In this study, the following boundary conditions were considered;

- The initial temperature of the system is ambient (T_{amb}) ; because the energy is adsorbed at the silicon surface;
- The effect of the radiation is apply at the glass layer with emissivity (εt), the rear surfaces of the system respectively carries the value h;
- The variations in the thermal properties of the PCM are independent of the temperature, however, they are different for solid and liquid phases;
- The properties of the PCM in solid and liquid phases were homogeneous and isotropic, and inside having the melted PCM;
- The flow was considered incompressible and laminar;
- The radiation condition is apply at the top and bottom of the PV with emissivity ε_t and ε_b respectively.



Table 1: Parameters of the model

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value	
L _{pv} (PV-length)	1m	Eg (glass thickness)	3mm	
I _m (PCM-box) interval	2.5mm	E _E (EVA thickness)	1mm	
S _b (interval between 2PCM bowl)	5mm	Et (Teldar thickness)	0.1mm	
l _{pv} (PV width)	4.4mm	Es (Silicon thickness)	0.3mm	
l _b (matrix width)	30mm	Eb	0.91	
W (space between PCM bowl row)	5mm	ε _t	0.85	
e _b (box thickness)	4mm	γ	45°	
		Number of PCM bowl	132	

Figure 1: PV-system with composite PCM

2.1 The aluminium box filled with composite material: PCM spherical bowls / metal.

Here, the PCM was introduced during its manufacturing into the cavities of a rectangular box (1000mm x 38mm x 4mm) whose walls are made of aluminium with the interior concrete made of a melted metal at high temperature, which hardened at room temperature. The amount of PCM in the box represents about 22-67% by surface.

The 2-D unsteady governing equations of energy and momentum heat transfer are solved by using the simple implicit finite volume method with Fluent 2020 R1. In addition, the Boussinesq approximation Comsol was adopted to count for the change in density of the PCM in the liquid phase with respect to temperature. Below are the defined parameters and abbreviations used in this investigation.

Due to the reflection of the PV, the entire sunray radiation incident that arrives at the surface of the PV (IT) is not totally converted in to energy. A fraction $(\rho_{PV}I_T)$ is lost due to reflection and the rest $(1 - \rho PV)IT$ absorbed by the system. Part of the absorbed radiation is used for electricity production and the rest (Sh) converted into heat.

$$Sh = (1 - \rho_{PV} - \eta_{PVmodule})I_T \tag{1}$$

 $\eta_{PVmodule}$, represents the solar radiation efficiency conversion to electricity of the PV module.

The main contribution to the energy stored by the system owes only to the PCM, so, the stored energy (Q_s) at time interval is given by:

$$Q_{S} = \begin{cases} mc_{S(T_{PCM} - T_{amb})} & \text{if } T_{amb} < T_{PCM} < T_{M} \\ mC_{S}(T_{M} - T_{amb}) + H & \text{if } T_{PCM} = T_{M} \\ mC_{S}(T_{M} - T_{amb}) + H + mc_{l}(T_{PCM} - T_{M}) & \text{if } T_{PCM} > T_{M} \end{cases}$$
(2)

 T_m is the melting temperature, T_{PCM} the PCM temperature, H for latent heat of fusion of PCM, and T_{amb} is the ambient temperature. The complete balance of energy of the system is writing as:

$$\alpha \tau I_T \Delta t = \eta_{PV} I_T \Delta t + U_l (T_P - T_{amb}) \Delta t + Q_s \tag{3}$$

 T_P is the PV cell average temperature, U_1 the overall heat transfer coefficient.

The inclination angle of the system is 45°; based on Khanna et al. ^[12] study, the Nusselt number (Nu) and the Rayleigh (Ra) number at the top and bottom of the PV can be writing as follow:

$$\begin{cases} Nu_b = \left[0.825 + \frac{0.387(Ra\sin\theta)^{1/6}}{\left[1 + (0.492/Pr)^{9/16} \right]^{8/27}} \right]^2 \\ Nu_t = 0.13\{ (Ra)^{1/3} - (Gr_c Pr)^{1/3} \} + 0.56(Gr_c Pr\sin\theta)^{1/4} \end{cases}$$
(4)

Where Pr is the Prandtl number of air, Gr_c is the critical Grashof number= $1.327 \times 10^{10} exp\left\{-3.708\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta\right)\right\}$ and Ra is the Rayleigh number, which is given by: (v is the velocity in m/s).

$$Ra = \frac{g(T_{l,avg} - T_{amb})L_{ch}^{3}Pr}{(0.25T_{l,avg} + 0.75T_{amb})v^{2}}$$
(5)

2.2. PCM System Equation

The liquid fraction varies mildly and continuously across the mushy region. This mushy zone is display by the governing equations to express the phase change phenomena.

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla (\rho u) = 0 \tag{6}$$

Where ρ is the density in kg/m³ and *u* the speed in m/s.

The conservation of the momentum is given by:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u.\nabla)u = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p + v\nabla^2 u + F$$
(7)

Where v is the kinematic viscosity (m²/s),p, pressure in the fluid (Pa)

Starting from Eq (6) and Eq (7) and considering that there is heat conversion during the phase change, the heat equations can be expressed by:

$$\rho c_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \rho c_p u. \nabla T = \nabla. (k \nabla T)$$
(8)

 c_p Specific heat capacity (J/kg.K), k: thermal conductivity of the material (W/m.K), T: temperature of the heat carrier fluid (K). During the phase change process, Eq (9) can express k ^[13] as follows

$$k = k_s \theta_1 + k_l \theta_2 \tag{9}$$

 k_1 and k_s are the thermal conductivity of the material at the solid and liquid state, θ_2 , θ_1 , with dimensionless constants expressed with respect to the liquid volume fraction of PCM during the phase change expressed by Eq (10):

$$\theta_1 = 1 - \gamma \; ; \; \theta_2 = \gamma \tag{10}$$

Where γ is the liquid volume fraction in the PCM, and a function of temperature defined by the system Eq (11)^[14]:

$$\gamma(T) = \begin{cases} 0, \ T < T_{\text{Solid}} \\ \frac{T - T_{\text{Solid}}}{T_{\text{liquid}} - T_{\text{Solid}}} \\ 1, \ T > T_{\text{liquid}} \end{cases}, T_{\text{Solid}} < T < T_{\text{liquid}} \end{cases}$$
(11)

While using Eq (11) above, F can be expressed as a function of γ ; where F is the acting force on the cylinder during the the heat transfer process.^[15]:

$$F = A \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{\gamma^3 + c}$$
(12)

Where c=0.001 is a small computational constant used to avoid division by zero, and A is a constant reflecting the morphology of the melting front. This constant is a large number, usually $10^4 - 10^7$. A value of A=10⁵ has been used in the literature. C_p is a temperature dependent variable, expressed by Eq (13)^[16]:

$$c_{p} = \begin{cases} c_{ps}; T < T_{s} \\ \frac{1}{2} (c_{ps} + c_{pl}) + H \frac{1}{\Delta T}; T_{s} < T < T_{l} \\ c_{pl}; T_{l} < T \end{cases}$$
(13)

The portion where the PCM temperature is solid and liquid can be express as $T_s=T_m -\Delta T/2$ and $T_l=T_m +\Delta T/2$, ΔT is the region of phase change material.

2.3. Solid system (PV, aluminium box and composite materials)

In the aluminium box, the temperature of any i layer of the PV with the composite material in x and y direction, at any time is defined by:

$$\rho c_P \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = k \left(\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right) + G_{PV}$$
(14)

The boundary conditions are:

$$k_{gl}\frac{\partial I_{gl}}{\partial y} = q_{t,t}$$
 at the top of the glass surface, (15)

$$k_{PV,i} \frac{\partial T_{PV,i}}{\partial x} = k_{PV,i} \frac{\partial T_{PVJ+1}}{\partial x} \text{ and for PV surface interface (for i^{th} and [i+1]^{th})}$$
(16)

$$k_{Al}\frac{\partial T_{Al}}{\partial y} = k_{Ma}\frac{\partial T_{Ma}}{\partial y} \tag{17}$$

The equation at the interface of Aluminium and composite material surface normal to y-axis is;

$$\frac{\partial T_{Al}}{\partial x} = k_{Ma} \frac{\partial T_{Ma}}{\partial x}$$
(18)

At interface of Aluminium and composite material surface normal to x-axis is:

$$k_{AI}\frac{\partial T_{Ma}}{\partial x_{I}} = k_{PCM}\frac{\partial T_{PCM}}{\partial x_{I}}$$
(19)

At interface of Aluminium and PCM, surface normal to y-axis:

k_{Al}

$$k_{tel} \frac{\partial T_{tel}}{\partial y} = \begin{cases} q_{t,b} & \text{at the bottom surface of teldar for only PV system} \\ k_{Al} \frac{\partial T_{Al}}{\partial y} & \text{teldar and aluminum surface interface} \\ T = T_{amb} \text{ at } t = 0 \end{cases}$$
(21)

The rate of heat loss from the bottom and the sidewalls was 0 because of the perfect insulation.

$$k_{PCM} \frac{\partial T_{PCM}}{\partial y} = k_{PCM} \frac{\partial T_{PCM}}{\partial x_{x=0}} = k_{PCM} \frac{\partial T_{PCM}}{\partial x_{x=L}} = 0$$
(21)

3. Solution Method and Validation

3.1 Method

We applied the ANSYS-Fluent R1 to study the behaviour of PV-panel temperature on the PV-composite PCM system. The bowls of PCM have circular holes of 4-7mm in radius, placed at a distance of 1-7mm to each other. Simulation were performed following the geometry of PV-composite-PCM, constructed by separating bodies (Glass, EVA, Silicon, Teldar,

Aluminium, Composite layer and PCM ball) with quadratic gird of independence size $(1\text{mm} \times 1\text{mm})$. CFD code based on the pressure-velocity coupling is accounted by the SIMPLE algorithm whereas residuals of the energy, continuity and velocity were chosen as 10-8, 10-6,10-6 respectively with 13057nodes. Both organics and inorganics PCMs thermoplastic and metal materials (composite) were used in this investigation. Six PCM were selected, five having melting temperature around $26 \pm 3^{\circ}$ C and one with 53 °C. The thermal properties of PCMs and the solid matrix are provided in Table (2 and 3). Four metals and four polymers were selected as matrix constituents.

Properties	SP29	RT27	RT25	n-Octadecane	Paraffin Wax	CaCl ₂ .6H ₂ O
Thermal conductivity	0.6	0.24 / 0.15	0.19 / 0.18	0.35 / 0.149	0.29 / 0.21	1.09 / 0.54
(W.m/K) solid/liquid						
Heat storage capacity	2.0	2.4 / 1.8	1.8 / 2.4	1.934 / 2.196	1.77	1.46 / 2.13
(kJ/kg.K) solid/liquid						
Melting temperature ⁰ C	29	300	26.6	27.2	53.3	29
Latent heat (kJ/kg)	200	178	232	245	164	200
Density(kg/m ³)	1550 / 1500	870 / 760	785 / 749	814 / 775	822	1710
Solid/Liquid						
Viscosity (kg/m.s)	0.00184	0.0342	1.8×10 ⁵ /1.798×10 ⁻³	5×10-6	0.13mm.s ⁻²	2.2×10 ⁻²
Solid/ liquid						

Table 2: Thermo-physical properties of PCM

Table 3: Thermo-physicals properties of metals and thermoplastic

Properties-metal	Copper	Steel	Aluminium	Nickel
Density (kg/m ³)	8960	8030	2675	8890
Thermal conductivity (W.m/K)	401	16.27	900	70
Heat storage capacity (kJ/kg.K)	385	502.48	211	456
Properties-thermoplastic	PVC ^[17]	Resin epoxy ^[16]	Polystyrene ^[18]	Polypropylene ^[19]
Density (kg/m ³)	1300	1147	1045	900
Thermal conductivity (W.m/K)	0.19	0.19	0.14	0.16
Heat storage capacity (J/kg.K)	1000	1300	1250	1700
PV materials	glass	teldar	silicon	EVA
Density (kg/m ³)	3000	1200	2330	960
Thermal conductivity (W.m/K)	1.8	0.2	148	0.35
Heat storage capacity (kJ/kg.K)	500	1250	680	2100

3.2 Validation Method

Khanna group, used fins inside of vertical aluminium PCM (RT25) container to enhance the heat and improve the thermal performance of PV-panel. The length (L_{PV}), the depth (l_b) and the thickness (e_b) of the aluminium box were 1m, 30mm and 4mm respectively. The inclination angle of the system, the ambient temperature, the incident radiation and the solar radiation absorption coefficient, were chosen to be 45°, 293K, 750W/m² and 0.9 respectively. The emissivity for radiation from top and bottom and the heat loss coefficients from front and back of the system were taken as 0.85, 0.91, 10W/m²K and 5W/m²K respectively. The other outer walls of the system were insulated. They plotted the variation in the temperature of the PV-panel of the system with respect to time. Here, to validate our model of study; we solved the equations by taking similar parameters as those of Khanna and al (2018).^[12] The variation of PV-panel temperature with time is represented in Fig. 2, along with their values. According to the calculations, the results differ from the original work within the range of ±1.5 °C. The results show that the temperature stabilizes within the interval (20min <t<360 min), and increases afterwards. Sellami and al., ^[17] reported the same trend. The average PV panel temperature in the PV-composite PCM is represent in Fig 2.

Huang and al., ^[18] have investigated the study of thermal performance of the PCM in a rectangular aluminium box with RT25 PCM. The length (L) and the depth (δ) of the PCM container were taken as 132mm and 40mm respectively. The thicknesses of the aluminium plates at front and back of the PCM layer taken as 4.5mm. The incident radiation (I_T) and the ambient temperature (T_{amb}) were 750W/ m² and 20°C respectively. The front and back of the system were not insulated while the other outer walls were covered. They reported the variation in the temperature of the front surface of the system with time. To validate our model with these experimental findings, the calculations was carried out with Ansys-fluent R1 by taking similar parameters. Simulations were done with varying values of the Meshing coefficient, the residuals of the energy, continuity and velocity were chosen as 10⁻⁵, 10⁻⁸ and 10⁻⁶ respectively. The results differ from the original work within the range of ±1°C. It is noticed that, the temperature is stabilized within the intervals (40-160 min), beyond which, it starts rising again.



Figure 2: Validation of our model against the simulation result of Khanna et al. [12] and Huang et al. [18].

4. Results and Discussion

In order to analyse the performances of the PV-matrix-PCM system, we considered the effect of thermal properties of PCM and matrix materials. The initial temperature of the system was assumed to be 293K, the flux radiation at the surface of the PV 750W/m2 and the heat transfer coefficient at the front and back of the PV 10W.m⁻².K⁻¹ and 5W.m⁻².K⁻¹ respectively. Numerical calculations were made for various types of heat exchanger matrix materials having different specific heat, thermal conductivity and density with different PCMs, and their varying effect on melt fraction within 300min interval. To study the effect of the diameter and thickness of PCM sphere on the PV-temperature, simulations were made for different PCM sphere.

4.1 Effect of the thermal properties of matrix materials and PCMs on PV-temperature

Calculations were made for six PCMs with different materials: four plastics materials (resin, PVC, PP and PS) and four metals (steel, aluminium, copper and nickel) in order to display the effect of the thermo-physical properties of the matrix. Table 3, displayed the numerical results of the PV-temperature, and melting fraction, with various materials with time. When the value of the thermal conductivity of the matrix increases, the value of PV-temperature and melting fraction increases as well. The values of PV-temperature and melting fractions for plastics materials are substantially equal because their thermal conductivity are in the same range. The continuous PV-temperature and melting fraction with time were plotted in Fig. along with the six PCMs with respect to copper and for the eight composite materials with respect to RT25.



Figure 3: Prediction of PV-temperature and melting fraction with copper

Table 4: Melting fi	raction and PV-	-temperature v	vith various	matrix	materials in	300min

	Time		N-Octadececane Paraffin max			SP29		RT25		RT27			
	Cac	Cl ₂ .6H ₂ O											
Name of Resin	min	T(K)	f	T(k)	f	T(K)	f	T(K)	f	T(K)	f	T(K)	f
Resin	300	305.822	0.4338	307.563	0.76495	311.879	0	306.170	0.513615	307.651	0.851381	308.168	0.8832
PVC	300	305.880	0.4443	307.699	0.77938	312.086	0	306.265	0.525514	307.795	0.865852	308.324	0.8982
PP	300	306.059	0.4224	307.829	0.74266	312.006	0	306.392	0.500049	307.922	0.827421	308.419	0.8584
PS	300	306.327	0.4254	308.202	0.74165	312.373	0	306.681	0.502554	308.293	0.825847	308.806	0.8579
Steel	300	302.493	0.3565	301.869	0.80534	306.613	0	302.466	0.431653	301.896	0.913741	302.394	0.9379
Al	300	302.566	0.4595	302.208	0.95655	308.379	0	302.617	0.560522	303.078	1	304.000	1
Copper	300	302.46	0.4085	301.779	0.89444	307.235	0	302.457	0.481499	301.929	0.998124	302.733	1
Nickel	300	302.389	0.3566	301.686	0.81503	306.515	0	302.391	0.431431	301.673	0.931524	302.187	0.9561

For copper material, stabilization time of PV-temperature was 320min, while for RT25 at lower temperature (301,93K, 0.998) it was 340min, for CaCl₂.6H₂O with slightly high temperature (302.46K) and low melting fractions (0.408), no stabilization is observed with paraffin wax. Form Fig 4 and Table 4, it can be seen that RT25 showed good compatibility with cooling system.

The PV-temperature of the system was low and the melting fraction high compare to the other PCMs at the same time. From this, we demonstrated the effect of the thermo-physical properties of PCMs to make significant contribution on the PV-temperature. For RT25 the time taken to complete melting was 325min and temperature was 302.4991K for copper, while it was 0.82 and 308.2938K with polystyrene within the same time. The comparison of PV-temperature for various matrix materials within 500min is showed in Fig. 4. There it is observe that the temperature with copper is stable for a much more duration at low PV-temperature as compare to polystyrene, due to the effect of the thermal conductivity, followed by aluminium and steel displaying good tend of stabilization.



Figure 4: Variation of PV-temperature with RT25 for various matrix material within 500min

From Fig. 4, the effect of thermal conductivity of matrix for plastics materials was dominating when the value of the melting fraction was low. No significant contribution on the melting fraction and PV-temperature is observe for increase in thermal conductivity value of 15W/m.K, although the PV cell temperature is higher when the matrix is from plastic material. Thus, providing an efficient way to value recycling of plastics materials because their stabilization time interval is longer than that of metal-based matrix.

4.2 Effect of thickness of the matrix on PV-temperature

The thickness effect was studied on various matrix materials for RT25 with 0.5,1,2 and 3 mm thickness. Fig. 5 represents the effect of matrix material thickness on PV-temperature. From this, it can be observed that, when the value of thickness increases, the PV-temperature increase too. Here four types of surfaces were involved as shown in Fig. 1. The first is the PV-panel surface (glass, silicon, teldar, EVA) in sky-blue; the second is the composite material surface in dark-orange; the third PCM surface in violet colour and fourth the aluminium box in brown colour. The aluminium box container is a mixture of PCM and other solid materials.but the increase is minimal. It is clear from the figure that matrix thickness is having almost insignificant effect on PV-temperature.



Figure 5: Variation of PV-temperature with different thickness of matrix with copper.

4.3 Effect of diameter of PCM sphere

To study the effect of diameter of PCM sphere on PV-temperature, calculations were applied for RT25 with 8, 10, 12 and 14mm diameter, which is plotted in Fig 6. Here, it can be seen than increasing the diameter, causes a decrease of PV-temperature and extend the stabilization interval, while the melting fraction decreased. The PV-temperature and melting

fraction was (302.5K; 1) with 8mm diameter, while it was (300.9K; 0.7712), (300.6K; 0.59761), (300.4K; 0.45882) for 10mm, 12mm and 14mm diameter respectively within 300min.



Figure 6: Variation of PV-temperature and Melting fraction of RT25 with different diameter of PCM sphere.

The difference in PV-temperature was not too high compare with the melting fraction from Fig. 6 above, attributed to the varying diameters of PCM sphere, displaying its great effect on PV-temperature and melting fraction. From this we can also assumed that the larger the diameter of the sphere, the larger the interval of stabilization temperatures.

4.4 Temperature distribution

The evolution of the temperature on the matrix-PV-PCM system was plotted in Fig. 7 and the temperature distribution of the whole system in Fig. 8. Initially, there is an increase of PV-temperature until it reaches the saturation value and remains constant for a significant amount of time and then increase further beyond this point. This rapid increase at the beginning is due to the low rate of heat extraction by PCM in the solid phase.[19] PV temperature become constant when PCM starts to melt, and increase again once the melting process is over. PCM has store all the energy and absorbed the latent heat, as seen from Fig. 8b, large space with green colour represent the stabilization interval of PV-temperature.



Figure 8: Variation of PV-temperature in Matrix-PV-PCM system



Figure 8: PV-temperature distribution a) distribution with time b) 2D and 3D distribution according to the position.

5. Conclusion

A two-dimensional theoretical model base on enthalpy formulation and fully implicit finite distinct methods were developed to analyse the performance of Matrix-PV-PCM systems. A second order continuous and differentiable function was defined for the transition of the PCM. The model was compared, and validated with reference to similar research investigations. The effect of PCMs sphere diameter, matrix materials thermal conductivity and the thickness on PV-temperature were studied. From the above results, it can be conclude that: the selection of the thermal conductivity for matrix materials and melting temperature of PCMs are very important because they have a considerable effect on PV-temperature. PV-temperature decrease as the thermal conductivity of matrix material and the melting fraction increases. Further, the thickness of the matrix material had a negligible impact on the PV-temperature, whereas PCM sphere diameter had a significant effect on PV-temperature and melting fraction. Finally, from this theoretical investigation, the matrix-PV-PCM can be a suitable way to stabilize PV temperature for PV-cooling systems. The diameter of PCM sphere, matrix material need to be carefully selected in order to optimize the stabilization time and improve the performance of PV.

References

- [1] K. Riahi, S. Rao, V. Krey, C. Cho, V. Chirkov, G. Fischer, G. Kindermann, N. Nakicenovic, P. Rafaj. A scenario of comparatively high greenhouse gas emissions. Climatic Change 109, 33 (2011).
- [2] L. El Chaar, L.A. lamont, N. El Zein. Review of photovoltaic technologies, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 15, 5, 2165-2175 (**2011**).
- [3] B. Parida, S. Iniyan, Ranko Goic. A review of solar photovoltaic technologies. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 15, 3, 1625-1636 (2011).
- [4] A-E. Kabeel, M. Abdelgaied, R. Sathyamurthy, A. Kabeel. A comprehensive review of technologies used to improve the performance of PV systems in a view of cooling mediums, reflectors design, spectrum splitting, and economic analysis. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 28, 7, 7955-7980 (2021).
- [5] S.S. Chandel, Tanya Agarwal. Review of cooling techniques using phase change materials for enhancing efficiency of photovoltaic power systems. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 73, 1342-1351 (**2017**).

- [6] M. Cellura, G. Ciulla, V. Lo Brano, A. Marvuglia, Aldo Orioli. A Photovoltaic panel coupled with a phase changingmaterial heat storage system in hot climates, in 25th Conference on Passive and Low Energy Architecture, Dublin (2008).
- [7] P. Biwole, P. Eclache, F. Kuznik. Improving the performance of solar panels by the use of phase-change materials, World renewable energy Congress, Sweden, 2953-2960 (2011).
- [8] G. Y. Xiang, Guohui. Optimization of building-integrated photovoltaic thermal air system combined with thermal storage, International Journal of Low-Carbon Technologies, 10, 2, 146–156 (**2015**).
- [9] S. Khannaa, K.S. Reddyb, Tapas K. Mallick. Optimization of finned solar photovoltaic phase change material (finned pv-pcm) system, International Journal of Thermal Sciences, 313-322 (**2018**).
- [10] M. L. Benlekkam, D. Nehari, H. Y. Madani. Numerical Performances Study of Curved Photovoltaic Panel, Mechanics and Mechanical Engineering, 22, 4, 1439–1451 (2018).
- [11] T. Sathe, A. S. Dhoble, S. Joshi, C. Mangrulkar, V. G. Choudhari. Numerical Investigations of Photovoltaic Phase Change Materials System with Different Inclination Angles, in Advances in Mechanical Engineering, 503-509 (**2021**).
- [12] S. Khanna, S. Sundaram, K.S. Reddy, T. K.Mallicka. Performance analysis of perovskite and dye-sensitized solar cells under varying operating conditions and comparison with monocrystalline silicon cell, Applied thermal engineering, 127, 559-565 (2017).
- [13] M. Yizhak. Ionic Liquid Properties: From Molten Salts to RTILs, Electrochemistry, 1, 244 (2016).
- [14] Y. Kim, A. Hossain, S Kim, Y N. Hokkaido. A Numerical Study on Time-Dependent Melting and Deformation Processes of Phase Change Material (PCM) Induced by Localized Thermal Input, Two Phase Flow, Phase Change and Numerical Modeling (2011).
- [15] O. Bertrand, B. Binet, H. Combeau, S. Couturier, Y. Delannoy, D. Gobin, M. Lacroix, P. Le Quéré, M. Médale, J. Mencinger, H. Sadat, G. Vieira. Melting driven by natural convection: A comparison exercise: first results. International Journal of Thermal Sciences, 38, 1, 5-26 (1999).
- [16] A. Seitov, B. Akhmetov, A. G. Georgiev, A. Kaltayev, R. K. Popov, D. B. DzhonovaAtanasova and M. S. Tungatarova. Numerical simulation of thermal energy storage based on phase change materials. Bulgarian Chemical Communications, 48, 181-188 (2016).
- [17] M. Zagrouba, A. Sellami, M. Bouaïcha, M. Ksouri. Identification of PV solar cells and modules parameters using the genetic algorithms: Application to maximum power extraction. Solar Energy, 84, 5, 860-866 (2010).
- [18] X. Huang, S. Han, W. Huang, X. Liu. Enhancing solar cell efficiency: the search for luminescent materials as spectral converters, Chem Soc Rev. 7, 42, 173-201 (**2013**).
- [19] R. Szczepaniak, R. Rudzki, D. Janaszkiewicz and G. Woroniak. Analysis of Modelling Capabilities of Phase Transitions of the First Kind in Hydrated Sodium Acetate Proceedings of the International Conference on Heat Transfer and Fluid Flow, Prague, 83 (2014).