$Proceedings\ of\ the\ 3rd\ International\ Conference\ on\ Statistics:\ Theory\ and\ Applications\ (ICSTA'21)$ 

Prague, Czech Republic Virtual Conference – July, 2021

Paper No. 132

DOI: 10.11159/icsta21.132

# Combining Time Series and Sentiment Analysis for Stock Market Forecasting

# Hsiao-Chuan Chou<sup>1</sup>, Kandethody M. Ramachandran<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Arts and Sciences, University of South Florida 4202 E Fowler Ave, Tampa, USA hsiaochuan@usf.edu

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Arts and Sciences, University of South Florida 4202 E Fowler Ave, Tampa, USA ram@usf.edu

**Abstract** – Objective of this research is to build a model to predict stock price using sentimental information from news headlines and historical prices, and the model is able to not only conclude better results but also minimize the difference between predicted values and actual values. News headlines show impact on stock price. Unlike previous approaches where the textual information were usually calculated into sentiment score, we apply various approaches to extract information from news headlines. On the other hand, price data through time series are also useful to predict stock prices. Hence, improvement is made with combination of sentiment analysis of news headlines and time series analysis of historical prices, and the combination is able to complement nonavailability of sentiment lexicon and lack of news. Compared to time series models and word embedding models, our combined model shows smaller or similarly error measures MAPE, MAE, and RMSE with time series models, and reduces lag in graphs.

**Keywords:** Long short-term memory, word embedding, time series, neural networks

## 1. Introduction

Financial asset forecasting is a charming and challenging problem at all times. There are many factors affecting trend direction. News and historical prices are commonly considered as two of those important factors affecting trend of financial asset. Hence, the idea of this work is to apply proper analytical approaches to them to reach better predictions of stock prices.

Sentiment is a personally subjective attitude toward a subject, which means an opinion to depict emotion. Sentiment analysis also known as text mining is capable to extract subjectivity from reviews, customer feedbacks, or texts. Sentiment analysis is being applied in many fields, especial business fields, to gather valuable information. Adjustment of business strategy, for instance, can be made from sentiments of customers' reviews, and that's why sentiment analysis is quite popular. Sentiment analysis can be performed on not only reviews of customers but also tweets, news and any personal text, and many researches related to stock market forecasting with sentiments have been done. With combination of moving average of financial indicator and sentence level sentiment score of Really Simple Syndication (RSS) news, trend of stock price for specific company is performed [1].

Since historical price of financial asset is time series data, many approaches for time series are applied to predict stock price. Artificial neural networks (ANN) as widely used forecasting model are also applied to solve non-linear problems such as stock price prediction [2]. Besides, recurrent neural networks (RNNs) as one of the popular neural network family constructs a model for trend of financial assets [3]. Volatility of financial asset market leads to a difficult situation to predict trend of the market. Combination of several machine learning approaches are being used in prediction [4].

In this paper, we compare pure time series models, word embedding models, and the new combined models for stock price data and news headline data in three error measures to find the most suitable model. The rest of the paper is as follows. Related works are given in Section 2 and data description would be introduced in Section 3. Data processing and evaluation methodology are in Section 4 and 5 respectively. Experiment to build models are discussed in Section 6. Results and performance would be presented in Section 7, and we finally would summarize and conclude this paper in Section 8.

#### 2. Related Works

Over the past few decades, many researchers have used machine learning approaches to analyze financial information including financial time series data and textual data [5]. For time series data, there are various approaches such as artificial neural network (ANN), autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA), k nearest neighbor (KNN), recurrent neural network (RNN), support vector regression (SVR), and so on. ANN has been used for time series forecasting for over 20 years for its ability to tackle nonlinear patterns, but it is sensitive to parameter selection [6]. ARIMA which is introduced in 1970 has also been being used to analyze financial data due to its high ability for linear time series [2]. It usually combines machine learning approaches with better ability to handle nonlinear time series such as ANN [7]. Recurrent neural networks are well-suited to solve both classification and regression problems with series data. Long short-term memory (LSTM) is the most fashionable member of it because LSTM is capable to exploit the patterns in data and remember information for long time [8]. With memory property, it is wildly used for series data such as financial time series data [9], and text mining task. Convolutional neural network (CNN) can be applied to reduce dimension, and it also works for series data due to its sliding processing. A combined approach is proposed with combination of CNN and LSTM for gold price time-series analysis [10]. Combined approach of support vector machine and bag of words is applied with textual information to predict price and direction [11]. Sentiment analysis is rarely applied to predict stock price alone because it extracts sentiment polarity from given text. Nevertheless, these approaches are applied to sentiment classification problems by labeling given texts based on stock price change. Recently, an increasing number of approaches based on two or more machine learning approaches is introduced into stock price prediction and direction. Price prediction of multiple companies based on neural network with concatenation of news and historical prices data of multiple companies is proposed [12]. Price prediction models based on dictionary-based text mining, time series analysis, and word embedding are performed with different combination of independent variables including news, polarity to news, historical prices [13]. SVR is also combined with sentiment analysis of tweets that work well on price forecasting tasks [14].

# 3. Data Description

We choose to obtain news and opinion headlines of six stocks from different sectors from Investing.com, which are Bank of America Corporation (BAC) in financial services, The Boeing Company (BA) in industrials, Exxon Mobil Corporation (XOM) in energy, Uber Technologies, Inc. (UBER) in technology, Johnson & Johnson (JNJ) in healthcare, and Apple Inc. (AAPL) in technology. The textual dataset we use covers the various period depending on stock. Reason to choose this dataset is because there are trading days without news for each stock (See Table 1).

Ticker	Per	iod	# of news	# of days without news	# of total days
BA	2008-10-30	2020-01-30	5773	1460	2831
BAC	2008-10-08	2020-01-28	7234	825	2845
XOM	2009-05-21	2020-02-12	2763	1740	2701
UBER	2019-05-14	2020-01-21	1195	2	174
JNJ	2012-07-23	2020-02-10	750	1456	1900
AAPL	2012-0717	2020-01-27	19971	158	1894

Table 1: Numbers of news, days, and days without news.

Lack of information for days practically happens so that we choose historical prices as additional information. Historical prices are time series data and easy to obtain, and combination of two types of data should be helpful to show the individual influence of news and opinions. We obtain historical prices of the six stocks on Yahoo! Finance website over the same period in order to complement news and opinions data.

## 4. Data Processing

In this paper, we apply word tokenization, stop-words removal, stemming, and part-of-speech tagger for textual dataset, and data transformation for numerical dataset prior to further modelings. In this research, word tokenization also includes normalization such as lowercasing and abbreviation replacing. Our stop-words list is originally from Natural Language Toolkit (NLTK) which is a platform for building Python programs to work with human language data, which contains 127

stop-words. We added new stop-words based on word frequency and removed stop-words related to direction. For price data, we applied transformation logarithms such as MinMaxScaler or log transformation depending on error measures.

# 5. Evaluation Methodology

In this research, three error measures are applied, which are root mean squared error (RMSE), mean absolute error (MAE), and mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) as shown:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i}' - y_{i})^{2}}$$

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_{i} - y_{i}'|$$

$$MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{y_{i} - y_{i}'}{y_{i}'} \right|$$
(1)
(2)

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i - y_i'|$$
 (2)

$$MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{y_i - y_i'}{y_i'} \right|$$
 (3)

where  $y_i$  is the actual value and  $y_i$  is the prediction for the corresponding actual value. MAE and RMSE measure difference between predicted prices and actual prices. MAPE shows the difference between predicted and actual prices in average percentage so it can compare one stock with other five stocks. For easier interpretability, MAPE would be multiplied by 100 in the result.

# 6. Experiment

Preprocessing for experimental setting, numerical dataset and textual dataset would be joint based on date so there are days without news. Afterwards, the joint dataset would split by date into training and test dataset by 80/20 without shuffle because we prefer to keep it a time series of prices and news headlines. We focus on the combination of time series analysis and sentiment analysis to better forecast stock price, and our combination approach includes four parts:

- Find a time series model which lead smallest error. The model would be selected and predicted prices based on the model are considered as new input data for further combined models.
- 2. Use word embedding approach to obtain representation matrix of daily news headlines for each stock as new input data for further combined models. Also, the word embedding model with smallest errors is selected and predicted prices based on it are considered as new input data.
- 3. Apply lexicon-based sentiment approach to obtain the polarity of each daily news headlines in score as new input data.
- 4. Perform combined models with new inputs for stock price forecasting.

For time series analysis, window size as a key factor is number of prices of the past days for current price prediction. We experiment different window sizes (3, 4, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30) and window size is decided by the model with smallest error (MAPE, MAE, and RMSE). Secondly, we would perform all models from 6.1 to 6.5, and the one with smallest error is applied to further predict stock prices.

Time series models (denoted category 1) includes Model 1, Model 2a, Model 2b, Model 3, Model 4a, and Model 4b which are introduced from Section 6.1 to 6.5. Word embedding models (denoted category 2) include Model 5a, Model 5b, and Model 5c which are introduced from Section 6.6 to 6.8. Combined models (denoted category 3) include Model 6, Model 7, Model 8, and Model 9 which are introduced in Section 6.9 to 6.12.

## 6.1. Support Vector Regression Model (Denoted Model\_1)

Experiment based on Support vector regression (SVR) used as baseline models from previous researches [15]. The input data for model\_1 is a time series of closing prices,  $P_1$   $P_2$ ...  $P_t$ ...  $P_n$ , for n trading days, and they perform SVR to predict price at time t by considering  $P_{t-4}$ ,  $P_{t-3}$ ,  $P_{t-2}$ ,  $P_{t-1}$  which are price at time t-4, t-3, t-2, and t-1 respectively. Kernel function and hyperparameters are selected by grid search to reach the smallest as possible.

# 6.2 Unidirectional Long Short-term Memory (Denoted Model\_2a)

Kumar and Ningombam perform long short-term memory (LSTM) to implement technical analysis for stock price forecasting of APPL in 2018 [16]. Their training details include dropout of 0.25, learning rate is 0.001, adam optimizer, epochs of 50, and linear activation for output layer activation. We also applied multilayer LSTM which stacks several LSTM layers. The design is able to capture more complicated patterns of data, but it also increases overfitting risk. The number of layers is from one layer to five layers, and the model with the smallest error is denoted model\_2a.

# 6.3 Bidirectional LSTM (Denoted Model\_2b)

According to an analysis of forecasting financial time series by Siami-Namini et al, Bidirectional LSTM results in smaller error than LSTM and ARIMA on NASDAQ index, Nikki 225 index, S&P 500 commodity price index, Dow Jones industrial average index, and IBM stock [17]. Bidirectional LSTM firstly is applied on the input sequence (from past to present) and then on the reverse of input sequence (from present to past) so that it improves the shortcoming of unidirectional LSTM which is moving information from the past. The architecture of our bidirectional LSTM is single layer.

## 6.4 Convolutional Neural Network (Denoted Model\_3)

The Convolutional neural network (CNN) model is based on the idea that price at a certain time is less affected by the prices a long time ago. Recurrent neural networks must go through prices day by day, but on the contrast, CNN model goes through prices subseries days by subseries days. The baseline CNN model consists of one input layer, two convolutional layers, one pooling layer, and a hidden layer. The size of convolutional filter is  $1 \times 7$ , and pooling size is  $1 \times 2$ , and there are 6 and 12 filters in two convolutional layers respectively [18].

## 6.5 CNN-LSTM (Denoted Model 4a and Model 4b)

Proposed LSTMs with convolutional layer (CNN-LSTM) provide a boost in prediction performance for gold price in 2020 [10]. Raw data is converted into new feature values by element-wise multiplication with kernel in convolutional layers. These feature values are subsampled in pooling layer for lower dimension. Their first architecture of CNN-LSTM consists of two convolutional layers of 32 and 64 filters of size (2, ), respectively, followed by a max-pooling layer with size (2,), a LSTM layer of 100 units, and a output layer of one neuron, denoted Model\_4a. The second architecture consists of two convolutional layers of 64 and 128 filters of size (2, ), respectively, followed by a max-pooling layer with size (2,), a LSTM layer of 200 units, a hidden layer of 32 neurons and a output layer of one neuron, denoted Model\_4b.

## 6.6 Pretrained Word2vec Model (Denoted Model 5a)

Inspired by prediction based on sentiment score of news headlines and historical prices, polarity of news headlines is informative so that new headlines vector might also provide useful information. We convert news headlines into vector by pretrained word embedding model and LSTM, and then use news headline vectors as input and actual price on the same day as target. We apply package gensim, pre-trained word2vec model to convert word into vector, and afterward, the series of word vectors are fed into recurrent neural network to extract information [19].

# 6.7 Word Embedding Model Based on Our Textual Dataset (Denoted Model\_5b)

Unlike usage of pre-training language models, we train our word embedding model based on our textual data, and word vectors are produced by our embedding model.

# 6.8 Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (Denoted Model\_5c)

Bidirectional encoder representations from transformers (BERT) are language representation models from unlabeled text [20], and it is a pre-training transformer-based machine learning approach for NLP task by Google. Every news headline is converted into headline embedding with  $1 \times 768$  of dimension, and then support vector regression with grid search is applied to forecast stock price on next day.

# 6.9 Model Based on Historical Prices and Sentiment Score (Denoted Model\_6)

Mohan et al combined lexicon-based sentiment analysis and LSTM for stock price prediction [13]. Words of news headline are converted into sentiment score, and then pair of historical price and sentiment score is applied for price

prediction by long short-term memories. The pairs are  $(Price_{(t-1)}, Score_{(t)}), ..., (Price_{(t-m)}, Score_{(t-m-1)})$ , where window size m is decided by error measures,  $Price_{(t-m)}$  is price at time t-m, and  $Score_{(t-m-1)}$  is score at time t-m-1.

# 6.10 Model Based on News Headlines and Predicted Prices (Model\_7)

Instead of identifying the polarity of news headlines, news headline at time *t* is processed by word embedding model, and then concatenated with predicted prices at time *t* by time series model for further prediction on stock price at time *t*. There are two situations for word2vec and BERT respectively. In the first situation, headline is converted into headline vector by BERT, concatenated with predicted prices by timeseries model, and then support vector regression is applied for prediction when Model\_5c is better than other embedding models. In second situation, headline is converted into a sequence of word vectors, and then converted into headline vector by LSTM. Afterwards, the headline vector is concatenated with predicted prices by time series model, and the neural network is applied for prediction, when Model\_5a and Model\_5b are better than Model\_5c.

## 6.11 Model Based on Historical Prices, Sentiment Scores, and Predicted Prices

This model, denoted Model\_8, is constructed on the idea of Model\_6, which bases on pairs of sentiment score and historical prices. For Model\_6, stock price at time *t* is predicted by pairs of historical price at time *t-1* and sentiment score at time *t*. For Model\_8, stock price at time *t* is predicted by pairs of historical price at time *t-1*, sentiment score at time *t*, and predicted price at time *t*. The predicted prices base on the BERT language model which is Model\_5c. Afterward, CNN-LSTM is applied for price forecasting.

## 6.12 Model Based on News Headlines Vector, Sentiment Score, and Predicted Prices

The last model is extension of model\_7 which combines sentiment score at time *t*, headline vector and predicted price at time *t*, and this model is denoted Model\_9. We assume that sentiment scores by lexicon and headline vector should be complementarily informative. When Model\_5c is better than Model\_5a and Model\_5b, headline vector by BERT is concatenated with sentiment score by lexicon and predicted price by time series model, and support vector regression is applied for price forecasting. When Model\_5a and Model\_5b are better than Model\_5c, headline is converted into headline vector by word embedding model and LSTM, the headline vector is further concatenated with sentiment score by lexicon and predicted price by time series model, and then neural network is applied for price prediction.

#### 7. Result and Performance

In this section, proper window sizes by experiments are shown in Table 2 at first, and then we show the results using all models for the six chosen stocks as shown from Section 7.1 to 7.6 individually. Since error measures sometimes does not improve, we also use graphs for our decision-making. In each section, we would present one table followed by two graphs. The table composes of stock ticker, model, MAPE, MAE, and RMSE. We only consider all combined models and the best models in time series models (category 1) and word embedding models (category 2) individually. The first graph is prediction figure by top 1 models in three categories, and the second graph is prediction figure by the model with smallest error in all models.

Stock	Window size	MAPE	MAE	RMSE
BA	3	1.9164	6.7913	9.1339
BAC	10	1.8153	0.5271	0.6446
XOM	3	1.3685	1.0141	1.3214
UBER	3	2.7847	0.8476	1.0531
JNJ	3	1.2789	1.7449	2.3005
AAPL	4	2.0712	1.1166	1.3669

Table 2: Better window size with errors for six stocks.

# 7.1 The Boeing Company (BA)

Among the time series models, bidirectional LSTM (Model\_2b) produces smallest errors which are MAPE of 1.7766, MAE of 6.2972, and RMSE of 8.4879. Among word embedding models, Model\_5a produces smallest errors, and none of embedding models is able to catch pattern, which means predictions based on embedding model are unreliable. For combined models, compared with Model\_6 which consists of CNN-LSTM with sentiment score of news headline, and historical prices, Model\_8 shows an improvement in 1.4269 of decrease in MAPE, 5.2884 of decrease in MAE, and 5.9117 of decrease in RMSE, which means that predicted prices by BERT are informative. From Fig. 1: Left, regression line by Model\_2b resembles moving average line which shows lag between regression lines by Model\_2b and actual prices. Model\_8 with smallest errors shows less lag than Model\_2b with similarly small errors. With sentiment scores as new input feature, error decreases from Model\_7 to Model\_9, but combined model\_7 and Model\_9 are still less reliable than Model\_6 and Model\_8.

TD 11 2 TD1 1 4	1 1 1		1 1 11'	1 1 1	1 ' 1	1 1 C D A
Table 3: The best	model in fime	series and	word embedding	models and	combined	models for RA
I dole 3. The best		scries and	word cilibeddille	inoucis, and	Combined	moucis for Dir.

Ticker	Model	MAPE	MAE	RMSE
	Model_2b	1.7766	6.2972	8.4879
	Model_5a	40.2427	145.4781	160.0848
BA	Model_6	2.3790	8.6836	10.4685
DA	Model_7	52.1324	187.4539	128.1867
	Model_8	0.9521	3.3952	4.5568
	Model_9	42.9119	154.4238	156.1739





Fig. 1: Left: Stock prices plotted on zero axis. Right: Predicted prices by the best model plotted.

#### 7.2 Bank of America Corporation (BAC)

Among the time series models, bidirectional LSTM gives smallest errors which are MAPE of 1.1405, MAE of 0.3282, and RMSE of 0.4383 than others. None of embedding models seem functional because of smallest MAPE by embedding models is 29.8403. Besides, combined models, Model\_6 and Model\_8, produce similarly small errors as time series model. Especially, errors by Model\_8 are smaller than by bidirectional LSTM, which also shows more reliability and less lag in Fig. 2: Left. Despite smaller error by Model\_9 than by Model\_7, Model\_5a outperforms Model\_7 and Model\_9, which is not reasonable. The possible reason could be the way to combine headline vector and predicted prices.

Table 4: The best model in time series and word embedding models, and combined models for BAC

Ticker	Model	MAPE	MAE	RMSE
	Model_2b	1.1405	0.3282	0.4383
	Model_5a	29.8403	8.9151	10.2604
DAC	Model_6	1.2356	0.3558	0.4437
BAC	Model_7	36.1119	10.6882	11.0415
	Model_8	0.5406	0.1556	0.1955
	Model_9	28.6205	8.4836	8.7312






Fig. 2: Left: Stock prices plotted on zero axis. Right: Predicted prices by the best model plotted.

# 7.3 Exxon Mobile Corporation (XOM)

Overall, time series models give great performance excluding support vector regression model, and bidirectional LSTM leads smallest errors than other time series models, which is MAPE of 1.0858, MAE of 0.8098, and RMSE of 1.0289. Among category 2, pretrained word2vec model (Model\_5a) gives MAPE of 11.1683, MAE of 7.9629 and RMSE of 9.8078 under conditions without news during 1740 out of 2701 days. With such informatively numerical and textual data, there is no doubt that Model\_6 and Model\_8 show small errors. Besides, Model\_7 and Model\_9 seem no improvement to embedding model, and reason could be word2vec might not extract important information.

Table 5: The best model in time series and word embedding models, and combined models for XOM.

Ticker	Model	MAPE	MAE	RMSE		
	Model_2b	1.0858	0.8098	1.0289		
	Model_5a	11.1683	7.9629	9.8078		
VOM	Model_6	0.9327	0.6789	0.8942		
XOM	Model_7	12.9858	9.3518	10.7769		
	Model_8	0.6887	0.5212	0.6407		
	Model 9	11.6688	8.4274	9.5500		






Fig. 3: Left: Stock prices plotted on zero axis. Right: Predicted prices by the best model plotted.


## 7.4 Uber Technologies, Inc. (UBER)

Prediction for Uber price is much worse than for other stocks, all models for UBER give much larger errors than for other chosen stocks. Small sample might cause the result. Total dataset includes 174 days. After split, there are 140 days for training dataset and 34 days for testing dataset. Bidirectional LSTM (Model\_2b) still leads to smallest errors which are MAPE of 2.3639, MAE of 0.7551, and RMSE of 0.9751 among time series models. Surprisingly, self-trained embedding model produces smallest errors among embedding models. The reason might be quality and quantity of news headlines. Besides, Model\_8 is able to give similar small error with Model\_2b. Despite larger error, Model\_8 shows less lag than

Model\_2b in Fig. 4: Left. Model\_9 shows no improvement after adding sentiment scores as new feature, and reason could be small sample size and stochastic characteristic of neural network.

T.1.1. C. Tl. 1 1.	1		11' 1 . 1	1 1. 1 1	1.1. C. IDED
Table 6: The best mode	i in time ceriec ai	na wora emnea	iding models, an	a comninea	models for LIBER
Table 0. The best mode	i ili tillic scrics a	na wora cinoca	anng modens, an	a comonica	models for Oblic.

Ticker	Model	MAPE	MAE	RMSE
	Model_2b	2.3639	0.7551	0.9751
	Model_5b	12.9310	3.9197	4.6411
UBER	Model_6	4.1442	1.3402	1.7054
UDEK	Model_7	10.6323	3.2549	4.0323
	Model_8	3.0765	0.9387	1.0554
	Model_9	15.1156	4.8640	5.4916






Fig. 4: Left: Stock prices plotted on zero axis. Right: Predicted prices by the best model plotted.

# 7.5 Johnson & Johnson (JNJ)

Model\_2b based on bidirectional LSTM shows better performance among time series models again, which leads to MAPE of 0.9566, MAE of 1.2965 and RMSE of 1.8897, and unidirectional LSTM model (Model\_2a) gives similar errors. Since there are 1456 days without news out of 1900 trading days, word embedding models produce large errors and are less reliable than time series models and combined models. Model\_6 as combined model with sentiment score and historical price leads to similar performance with time series models based on neural networks, and Model\_8 produces smallest errors among all models, which are MAPE of 0.7580, MAE of 1.0371 and RMSE of 1.3188. Since Model\_5c outperforms Model\_5a and Model\_5b, support vector regression is applied for prediction. Comparison of Model\_7 and Model\_9, it seems that headline vector by BERT is more informative than by word2vec and LSTM.

Table 7: The best model in time series and word embedding models, and combined models for JNJ.

Ticker	Model	MAPE	MAE	RMSE
	Model_2b	0.9566	1.2965	1.8897
	Model_5c	18.9405	26.0472	28.2778
JNJ	Model_6	1.0636	1.4393	2.0482
JINJ	Model_7	3.6074	4.9771	5.3382
	Model_8	0.7580	1.0371	1.3188
	Model_9	3.6029	4.9709	5.3326





Fig. 5: Left: Stock prices plotted on zero axis. Right: Predicted prices by the best model plotted.

# 7.6 AAPL Inc. (AAPL)

Bidirectional LSTM (Model\_2b) outperforms other time series models for AAPL and other chosen stocks. Quality of news headlines might play an important role in analysis because there are only 158 days without news out of 1894 days and errors for embedding models are larger than 30 which means there is more than 30% difference between predictions and real prices. Comparison between Model\_7 and Model\_9, sentiment scores are not informative with headline vector by BERT. Model\_8 again gives smallest MAPE, MAE and RMSE.

Table 8: The best model in time series and word embedding models, and combined models for AAPL.

Ticker	Model	MAPE	MAE	RMSE
	Model_2b	1.6852	0.8788	1.1741
	Model_5c	33.1868	18.4446	20.8859
AADI	Model_6	1.8301	0.9519	1.1928
AAPL	Model_7	20.0284	11.2110	12.8617
	Model_8	1.0405	0.5222	0.6774
	Model_9	20.0526	11.2261	12.8853






Fig. 6: Left: Stock prices plotted on zero axis. Right: Predicted prices by the best model plotted.

# 8. Conclusion and Future Work

In this work, we focus on stock price prediction by combination of different models including sentiment scaling system, word embedding model, and time series model to better analyze time series data in financial field. However, it is questionable how to combine two types of information due to dimension. Numerical information is usually one dimension but it is more complicated to textual information. Especially for word embedding models, BERT produces 768 dimensions of headline vector and 300 dimensions by pretrained word2vec. Concatenation of one dimension and high dimension of matrix might cause problem and this might be the reason why Model 7 and Model 9 does not reach our expectation.

Time series models are able to grab periodic status, which performs prediction with small errors. Practically, it is unhelpful in finance field because predictions by time series models are likely either higher than actual prices or lower than actual prices. On the graphs, regression line by time series data resembles a smooth move average line, which comes lagging.

Sentiment scaling system is popular method to extract polarity of given text but nonavailability of sentiment lexicon happens. One solution is application of word embedding model which is able to convert given text into informative matrix, and the matrix somehow complements nonavailability of sentiment lexicon. They can be used for sudden and short-term influence.

Despite stochastic characteristic of neural networks, bidirectional LSTM (Model\_2b) outperforms the other time series models in 6 out of 6 stocks, but on the figures, it does not look like models with low error. Prediction based on word embedding models is unreliable because of high error. The high error might be caused by the days without news. Our proposed model (Model\_8) is combination of these models, and result shows the potential not only to keep as lower error as time series models and but also to extract information from words. In 5 out of 6 chosen stocks, Model\_8 shows beneficial performance, and also show sensitivity on graph. Regression line by Model\_8 shows less lag than other models. There are still various ways to concatenate two type of information and expanded error measures, which we leave as future work.

## References

- [1] S. Bharathi and A. Geetha, "Sentiment Analysis for Effective Stock Market Prediction," *International Journal of Intelligent Engineering and System*, vol. 10, no. 3, 2017.
- [2] A. A. Adebiyi and O. A. Adewumi, "Stock price prediction using the ARIMA model," in *UKSim-AMSS 16th International Conference on Computer Modelling and Simulation*, 2014, pp. 106-112.
- [3] H.D. Huynh, L.M. Dang and D. Duong, "A new model for stock price movements prediction using deep neural network," in *Proceedings of the Eighth International Symposium on Information and Communication Technology*, 2017, pp. 57-62.
- [4] W. Khan, M. A. Ghazanfar, M. A. Azam, A. Karami, K. H. Alyoubi and A. S. Alfakeeh, "Stock market prediction using machine learning classifiers and social media, news," *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, pp. 1-24, 2020.
- [5] C. C. Emioma and S. O. Edeki, "Stock price prediction using machine learning on least-squares linear regression basis" in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1734, no. 1, 2021.
- [6] J. T. Yao and C. L. Tan, "Guidelines for financial forecasting with neural networks," in *International Conference on Neural Information Processing*, pp. 757-761, 2001.
- [7] Y. Musa and S. Joshua, "Analysis of ARIMA-artificial neural network hybrid model in forecasting of stock market returns," *Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics*. vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 42-53, 2020.
- [8] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber, "Long short-term memory," *Neural Computation*, vol. 9,no. 8,pp. 1735-1780, 1997.
- [9] A. Yadav, C. K. Jha and Sharan, "Optimizing LSTM for time series prediction in Indian stock market," in *International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Data Science (ICCIDS)*, pp. 2091-2100, 2020.
- [10] I. E. Liveris, E. Pintelas and P. Pintelas, "A CNN-LSTM model for gold price time-series forecasting," *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 32, no. 23, pp. 17351-17360, 2020.
- [11] R. P. Schumaker and H. Chen, "Textual analysis of stock market prediction using breaking financial news: The AZFin text system," *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS-09)*. vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 12:1-12:19, 2009.
- [12] R. Akita, A.Yoshihara, T. Matsubara and K. Uehara, "Deep learning for stock pre-diction using numerical and textual information," in 2016 IEEE/ACIS 15th International Conference on Computer and Information Science (ICIS), IEEE, 2016, pp. 1-6.
- [13] S. Mohan, S. Mullapudi, S. Sammeta, P. Vijayvergia and D. C. Anastasiu, "Stock price prediction using news sentiment analysis" in 2019 IEEE Fifth International Conference on Big Data Computing Service and Applications, IEEE, 2019, pp.205-208.
- [14] H. Maqsood, I. Mehmood, M. Maqsood, M., Yasir, S. Afzal, F. Aadil, M. M. Selim and K. Muhammad, "A local and global event sentiment based efficient stock exchange forecasting using deep learning," *International Journal of Information Management*, pp. 432-451, 2020.
- [15] H. Yang, L. Chan and I. King, "Support vector machine regression for volatile stock market prediction," in *International Conference on Intelligent Data Engineering and Automated Learning*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2002, pp. 391-396.

- [16] S. Kumar and D. Ningombam, "Short-term forecasting of stock prices using long short term memory," in *International Conference on Information Technology (ICIT)*, 2018.
- [17] S. Siami-Namini, N. Tavakoli and A. S. Namin, "A comparative analysis of forecasting financial time series using arima, lstm, and bilstm," 2019, arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.09512.
- [18] J. Cao and J. Wang, "Stock price forecasting model based on modified convolution neural network and financial time series analysis," *International Journal of Communication Systems*, vol. 32, no. 12, 2019.
- [19] Google code archive long-term storage for Google code project hosting. (n.d.). Retrieved April 05, 2021, from https://code.google.com/archive/p/word2vec/
- [20] J. Devlin, M. W. Chang, K. Lee and K. Toutanova, "Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding," 2018, arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805.