

Exploring Informational and Topological Properties of Seismic Point Processes

Luciano Telesca

Institute of Methodologies for environmental Analysis, National Research Council, Tito, Italy
luciano.telesca@cnr.it

Extended Abstract

Seismic sequences are temporal point processes marked by event magnitudes. Denoting the magnitude by M , its distribution, $p(M)$, follows the well-known Gutenberg-Richter law, $\log_{10}(p(M))=a-b(M-M_0)$ [1], where b represents the relative proportion of small to large earthquakes, offering insights into the stress conditions of a seismic region, and M_0 is the completeness magnitude, the smallest magnitude detectable by the seismic network [2]. Similarly, denoting the interevent interval (the time between two consecutive earthquakes) by T , its distribution, $p(T)$, varies depending on the nature of the seismic process.

Similarly to continuous time series, temporal point processes can be transformed into network representations [3, 4]. Among these, the visibility graph [5] has gained significant popularity over the past decade due to its straightforward implementation and robust performance on both natural and synthetic datasets.

To analyze the networks generated by visibility graphs, researchers have applied information theory quantifiers, such as the Fisher Information Measure and Shannon entropy [6]. These measures have revealed intriguing relationships, for example, with the Hurst exponent, which characterizes the long-range correlation properties of a time series.

In this study, we investigate the informational and topological properties of visibility graph networks constructed from seismic point processes, generated by a few physics-based earthquake generation models that share the fundamental Gutenberg-Richter magnitude distribution. The results of this study can contribute to enhance our knowledge about the complex time dynamics of seismic sequences.

The study was supported by the project ITINERIS “Italian Integrated Environmental Research Infrastructures System” (National Recovery and Resilience Plan — NRRP, Mission 4, Component 2, Investment 3.1—Project code IR0000032, funded by the European Union NextGenerationEU).

References

- [1] R. Gutenberg, C. F. Richter, “Frequency of earthquakes in California,” *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, vol. 34, pp. 185–188, 1944
- [2] P.A. Rydelek, I. S. Sacks, “Testing the completeness of Earthquake catalogs and the hypothesis of self-similarity,” *Nature*, vol. 502, pp. 251–253, 1989.
- [3] L. Telesca, T. Cheldize, “Visibility graph analysis of seismicity around Enguri high arch dam, Caucasus,” *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, vol. 108, pp. 3141–3147, 2018.
- [4] L. Telesca, A. T. Thai, D. T. Cao, T. H. Dang, “Visibility Graph Investigation of the Shallow Seismicity of Lai Chau Area (Vietnam),” *Entropy*, vol. 26, 932, 2024.
- [5] L. Lacasa, B. Luque, F. Ballesteros, J. Luque, J. C. Nuño, “From time series to complex networks: The visibility graph,” *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 105, pp. 4972–4975, 2008.
- [6] B. A. Gonçalves, L. Carpi, O. A. Rosso, M. G. Ravetti, “Time series characterization via horizontal visibility graph and Information Theory,” *Physica A*, vol. 464, pp. 93–102, 2016.