

## Intensified Dryland Cropping Systems for Food and Biofuel Feedstock Production

Chengci Chen<sup>1</sup>, Reza Keshavarz Afshar<sup>2</sup>, and Yesuf Mohammed<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Eastern Agricultural Research Center, Montana State University  
Sidney, Montana, USA

cchen@montana.edu; Yesuf.mohammed@montana.edu

<sup>2</sup>Western Colorado Research Center-Fruita, Colorado State University  
Fruita, Colorado, USA

Reza.Keshavarz\_Afshar@colostate.edu

### Extended Abstract

Production of biofuels on fallowed land will benefit farmers and environment without creating any “food versus fuel” crisis [1]. Camelina has potential to be planted in the fallow period in the predominant wheat-fallow (WW-FAL) cropping system in the Northern Great Plains for annual cropping. In a multi-year field study (2008-2015), we evaluated the sustainability of replacing fallow with camelina in WW-FAL rotation with respect to agronomic, economic, and energetic performance [2]. We also examined how to improve the sustainability of camelina production via optimization of agronomic practices. Replacing fallow with camelina resulted in 13.2% reduction in wheat yield, but the annual cropping produced 907 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of camelina seed. WW-CAM also outperformed WW-FAL by 30% greater net energy output and similar energy efficiency. Despite agronomic, energetic, and ecological benefits, economic analysis revealed that at existing market prices and production costs, WW-FAL provides greater net returns to growers due to substantially lower variable costs. We found that there is a good potential to curb production costs of camelina through improving nitrogen fertilization use efficiency and reducing herbicide application. Beside lower production cost, higher grain price (the breakeven of \$0.358 kg<sup>-1</sup>) and/or greater grain yield are still essential to attract producers to plant camelina. Nevertheless, greater and annually biomass production in WW-CAM system is expected to enhance soil organic matter, higher precipitation use efficiency, and protecting soil against erosion, thus resulting in more agronomic sustainability of the system.

### References

- [1] I. Gelfand, R. Sahajpal, X. Zhang, R. C. Izaurralde, K. L. Gross, and G. P. Robertson, “Sustainable bioenergy production from marginal lands in the US Midwest,” *Nature*, vol. 493, pp. 514-517, 2013.
- [2] C. Chen, A. Bekkerman, R. Keshavarz Afshar, and K. Neill, “Intensification of dryland cropping system for bio-feedstock production: Evaluation of agronomic and economic benefits of camelina sativa,” *Industrial Crops and Products*, vol. 71, pp. 114-121, 2015.