Energy Refurbishment of a School Building in Ceprano, Italy
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Abstract - Among the adaptation measures against the climate change, energy saving in buildings is a crucial point. Detailed analysis to keep the operation of the building as well as its architectural features when listed is required. A school is selected as case study for its age of construction and potential of replicability due to its similarities for many other schools which make it an archetype. Building envelope and energy systems are the area of intervention supported by an experimental campaign to assess the status quo. Furthermore, the design complies with minimum environmental requirements by current regulation for public buildings. For the new proposed design, the target of high-efficient building is reachable even for so constrained existing building.
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1. Introduction

Building sector accounts for 40% of final energy consumption and 36% of greenhouse gas emissions [1]. The change in users’ behaviour and habits due to the modern society [2] calls for new requirements in existing buildings [3] and the need for energy efficiency interventions is often the chance to adapt them at citizens’ demand [4]. Legislation is able to codify environmental and energy performance by means of benchmark values [5] or a structured certification process to comply with EU regulation in the field of energy efficiency [6]. Economic resources are often not enough to support all the required interventions and a prioritization of measures to take is foreseeable [7]. As aforementioned, the new society’s habits and the age of people as building users entail new aspects of indoor comfort [8] together with a renovation strategy aiming at adapting the existing building to a function diverse from the original purpose [9]. Materials used in the original construction and its age can tell a preliminary analysis of building performance [10] while the need for data become essential [11] when modifications occurred and other uses are not recorded [12]. Cutting-edge renewable energy installations appear as the panacea [13] to make built environment sustainable rapidly but they are suitable for new buildings [14]. Upgrading the existing energy systems in the existing building stock is the main challenge [15]. This why energy refurbishment is intended firstly on the building envelope to reduce the original demand [16], then it moves to the energy production and distribution to make more efficient and effective the energy amount used [17]. For this purpose, status quo assessment is crucial to have the baseline of energy consumption and to properly design the improvement [18]. As a matter of fact, the most used methods to apply renewable energy start from the scratch neglecting the existing features [19] or limiting their scale of intervention to the urban one [20] since only tailored design can offer reliable results [21].

In-situ measurements are of interest when the building is old [22] since its age entails the absence of detailed to documents to describe all the materials and their thermo-physical performance [23]. This paper deals with the energy and environmental improvement of an existing building located in Central Italy. The case study is a school building similar to the schools built in the same age and for this reason usable as an archetype to identify the best design to spread out to the other ones, i.e. replicable [24]. Replicability, then, offers the chance to draw the guidelines for energy efficiency in those buildings to ensure energy and comfort performance [25].

Furthermore, a detailed analysis can imply a more realistic scenario to implement prototype solution such as new energy storage [26] or conventional local materials [27] or even test innovative solutions at the end of the energy system [28] or to be the innovative material of the building components [29].
2. Building features and energy status quo

Satellite data are useful to identify area and features of building surroundings [30,31] even if they are often used for coastal environments [32,33]. A simple approach is the Google Maps picture of the building location in the city as depicted in Figure 1 together with the main façade of the building. Its surface is around $1,140 \text{ m}^2$, with a length of 59 m and width of 43 m. Building height is 13 m. As depicted in the Figure, a gym building was added to the complex with a surface of $470 \text{ m}^2$. Like the original building, the gym one has the flat roof. Its height is between 3 and 5 m varying if it is service rooms or field. To approach the energy analysis is fundamental creating the link between real measurements and model to be built [34] in order to get the actual benefits when implementing new envelope solutions or more efficient HVAC and energy supply systems [35] and subsequent economic assessment [36].

![Fig. 1: a) View of the case study; b) location of the case study in the city.](image)

Non-invasive surveys were carried out to acquire thermo-physical data and related performance. Moreover, maintenance workers were involved in the status quo assessment together with the use of instruments such as thermo-camera, as depicted in Figure 2. Documents of the original design as well as construction site pictures are not available anymore since the Municipality’s Archive was destroyed during the attack in the Second World War. Nevertheless, historical pictures were collected by the inhabitants to compare assumptions made by the authors and features founded in them [37]. This is the case of the windows solutions at that time.

![Fig. 2: Thermo-camera pictures.](image)

The building is made of masonry with a thickness of 65 cm as shown in Figure 3 a). The floors were built with a thickness of 35 cm and the positioning on the first floor is at 78 cm from the ground. The windows as verified by the materials collected remained the original ones only at the last floor while the ground floor and first floor ones were changed after the construction [38]. The appearance was kept the same by the new windows.
Referring to the energy supply and HVAC systems, the school building is connected to a centralized heating plant located in the middle of the court. It is shown in Figure 4. It provides space heating and Domestic Hot Water (DHW). The thermal power is around 530 kW and is fuelled by Natural Gas. The distribution system is composed by non-insulated pipes, a constant flow set controlled by external temperature to change fluid temperature. The terminals are radiators without regulation. Air conditioning option is not available. This fact leaves the decarbonisation target to the heating [39].

Based on this set of information, a building model was built using Stima10-TFM software, which implements the procedures of the UNI 7357/74 for the calculation of winter thermal loads, the ASHRAE Transfer Function Method (TFM) for the calculation of summer thermal loads. Beside them, the procedures of UNI/TS 11300 (UNI EN ISO 13790 Italian National adoption) for the calculation of energy needs are used too. The building model was validated by comparison with the data from energy bills collected in the survey phase as found in literature [40,41].

According to energy labelling, the building belongs to category E since its EP_{gl,nren}, i.e. the specific fossil primary energy consumption, is 175.5 kWh/m²y and the renewable fraction is limited to 6.5%, coming from the connection to the National Grid. Those values are reported in Table 1. The primary energy needed for heating purposes is 88.5 kWh/m²y while, the one

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Heating</th>
<th>Hot water</th>
<th>Lighting</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>[kWh/y]</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>3,948</td>
<td>23,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fossil</td>
<td>[kWh/y]</td>
<td>279,184</td>
<td>17,361</td>
<td>95,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>[kWh/y]</td>
<td>279,512</td>
<td>21,309</td>
<td>119,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable fraction</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
for cooling purposes is 28.8 kWh/m²y. Furthermore, the average seasonal efficiency of heating system is 70.8%. This value is fundamental if further Life Cycle [42] or Risk Assessment [43] will be done.

3. Intervention strategies

From data collection and values reported in the previous section, design strategies for energy refurbishment were done involving the building envelope, HVAC systems and installation of solar energy for local electricity production. To preserve architectural appearance and features due to historical values, the improvement of the building envelope is proposed as indoor solution by means of aero-gel panels of 3 cm. The external layer can be covered by the insulation of 10 cm XPS for the upper and lower floor. That is possible since the original pavements and interior design were already modified not showing valuable characteristics. Referring to the windows, they will be substituted with the models complying with current regulation in terms of U-value and covered with similar appearance to the original ones. Table 2 describes the values ex-ante and ex-post for each mentioned building components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current situation</th>
<th>Proposed measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertical building envelope</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground floor</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original windows</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substituted windows</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Considering the HVAC systems, the existing centralized heating is further equipped with a heat pump playing the primary role in heating supply and leaving the boiler as back-up in cold days to reduce pollution [44,45]. Furthermore, a reduction in heating demand occurs since the insulation will improve building performance and heat losses. Then, the distribution systems will be monitored by means of thermo-static valves which will affect the flow of the heating carrier. A special attention is given to the lighting by including the installation of LED lamps and an automatic on-off system linked to the occupancy providing a reduction of operation time and energy consumed when used. Finally, as regards the solar energy integration, a PV array is designed on the building roof as depicted in Figure 5.

![Fig. 6: a) View of the roof; b) Location of PV array on the roof.](image)

The solar energy plant is made by 542 panels with a nominal power of 360 W covering a surface of 870 m². Therefore, the total power is equal to 195 kWp, entailing an yearly production of 227,000 kWh/year and an interesting amount for flexibility [46]. According to energy labelling, the refurbished building belongs to category A3 since its EP\textsubscript{gl,nren} consumption goes down to 44.4 kWh/m²y. At the same time, the renewable fraction rises dramatically of ten times to 51.5% accounting for the heat pump air-source and the electricity produced by the PV system [47]. Those quantities are reported in Table 3. In detail, the primary energy needed for heating purposes is 16.0 kWh/m²y while, it
is 42.2 kJ/m²y for cooling purposes. Furthermore, the average seasonal efficiency of the heating system reaches 80.5%.

### Table 3. Primary energy consumptions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Heating</th>
<th>Hot water</th>
<th>Lighting</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Renewable [kWh/y]</td>
<td>51,510</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>53,099</td>
<td>105,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fossil  [kWh/y]</td>
<td>77,408</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>21,420</td>
<td>99,221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total    [kWh/y]</td>
<td>128,917</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>74,519</td>
<td>204,401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable fraction</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>59.2%</td>
<td>71.3%</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The list of those values demonstrated the opportunity to effectively improve an existing building with historical values and subsequent limitations in its architectural appearance’s modifications. This reduces its environmental footprint [48].

### 4. Conclusion

Efficiency targets as well as foreseen increase in renewable energy fraction are viable also for existing building with architectural constraints due to their historical values. The case study considered in this article gave the chance to check that feasibility as well as the values reachable with a set of conventional solutions assisted by a tailored design. Avoiding cutting-edge and frontiers solutions in this energy retrofitting project is useful to demonstrate that no high-cost or materials difficult to find on the market are needed to reduce the existing building stock performance to comply with policy targets. Moreover, the selection of those interventions can be done in connection with the available budget to find the most cost-effective one depending on the ownership of the building and the final aim of the improvement. The large amount of similar building in Europe implies a huge potential for replicability together with the clear definition that those interventions are easy to implement and the targets for energy efficiency are not unrealistic if concrete actions will be taken directly by the public buildings administrators. The novelty is, then, in the market-oriented design for replicability.
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