Proceedings of the International Conference of Theoretical and Applied Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (TANN'17) Toronto, Canada – August 23 – 25, 2017 Paper No. 111 DOI: 10.11159/tann17.111

RETRACTED: Synthesis and Characterization of Nanocomposite of Functionalized Graphene Oxide with Multi Core-Shell Fe₃O₄-ZnO-TiO₂ Nanoparticles

Ali Nemati^{1,2}, Waseem Haider¹, S. Ghanbarnezhad³, Zia Ur Rahman¹, Syed Nabeel Ahmed¹

¹School of Engineering and Technology, Central Michigan University

Mt. Pleasant, MI, 48859 nemat1a@cmich.edu ²Department of Materials Science & Engineering, Sharif University of Technology Tehran, Iran nemati@sharif.edu ³Department of Materials Engineering, Science & Research Branch, Islamic Azad University Tehran, Iran

Retraction Note - This article has been retracted at the request of the authors since some of the data provided is incorrect. The corresponding author apologizes for the lack of proper preparation prior to submission of the article.

Abstract - This project involves development and characterization of nanocomposite of functionalized graphene oxide with multi coreshell Fe_3O_4 -ZnO-TiO₂ nanoparticles (abbreviated as G-MCSN) with enhanced multi-functional properties. The multi core-shell nanoparticles consist of superparamagnetic core with modified shells of photocatalytic materials. After synthesis of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles (using co-precipitation and solvothermal processes), it was coated with multi shells of ZnO and TiO2 (via a facile sol–gel rout). Functionalized graphene oxide was synthesized and mixed with multi core-shell nanoparticles to prepare the nanocomposite. The phases and morphology of the core-shell nanoparticles and the nanocomposite were investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD), field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE/SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM/SAED). The magnetization hysteresis curves of the samples were conducted by a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM).

The results showed that the average size of synthesized magnetite nanoparticles were less than 20 nm. The précised control of the procedure resulted in the formation of core-shell structure after coating with ZnO and TiO₂. The median size of core-shell nanoparticles was less than 50 nm. TEM studies revealed the formation of a few layers functionalized graphene oxide (FGO), as well as core-shell nanoparticles. Vibrating sample magnetometer measurement showed that magnetic property of the core-shell nanoparticles was lower than the bare Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles (from 40.96 emu.g⁻¹ in bare Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles to 20.13 and 12.98 emu.g⁻¹ in core-shell nanoparticles). But, when nanocomposite was made (FGO with core-shell nanoparticles), magnetic property was increased in comparison with the coreshell nanoparticles from 12.98 emu.g⁻¹ to 28.18 emu.g⁻¹.

Keywords: Functionalized graphene oxide, core-shell nanoparticles, Fe₃O₄/ZnO/TiO₂, TEM, VSM.

1. Introduction

Advances in nanotechnology have improved the ability to develop and specifically tailor the microstructural features and properties of functional, structural and biomaterials for high tech applications [1-6]. In recent years, graphene, reduced graphene oxide (RGO) and functionalized graphene oxide (FGO) have been used for different applications. The studies on graphene-based nanocomposites have shown that combining graphene with other materials alters and improves the overall properties of the composite [3-7]. Graphene is known as an electrical conductive material. The interesting aspect of graphene is the correlation between the band structure and its layer structure [8]. The unique feature of graphene creates the ballistic characteristic of electrons in the system. The high speed of electron in graphene (ballistic behaviour of electrons) not only would be beneficial for many applications, but also has the potential to increase the photocatalytic sensitivity of the nanocomposites and have made it suitable materials for many applications. These exceptional electrical and physical properties are suitable for applications in high-speed electronics, data storage devices, flexible touch screens, supercapacitors,

solar cells, and electrochemical sensors [8-9]. Graphene and graphene oxide have been synthesized in various ways, such as mechanical exfoliation [9], chemical vapor deposition, epitaxial growth, and chemical derivation techniques [9-11]. It has been shown in the literature that when graphene combines with other semiconductor oxides, improve the overall behavior of the system [12-15]. Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs), such as Fe₃O₄, have the ability to overcome numerous challenges and also have strong potential to develop new classes of advanced nanomaterials [16-19]. Different microstructures have been proposed for MNPs, however, one of the main concepts is to design a core-shell nanoparticle structure [20]. However, there are still a lot of unsolved issues in designing and fabricating core-shell nanoparticle structures [19-23]. Studies have shown that TiO₂ and ZnO not only are good photocatalytic materials, but also can be coated on Fe₃O₄ very easily [14, 24-31]. There is significant literature available on graphene, Fe₃O₄, ZnO and TiO₂, as well as the combination of graphene with photocatalytic semiconductor and metal oxides, such as TiO₂, ZnO, SnO₂, Fe₂O₃, MnO₂ and CdS [1,4, 6-11]. Wang et al. synthesized a graphene-based magnetic nanocomposite via in-situ chemical deposition of Fe(II) and Fe(III) in alkaline solution for photocatalytic applications [6]. Singh & co-workers reported a facile soft-chemical approach for the fabrication of Fe₃O₄ embedded ZnO semiconductor nanocomposites [7]. Yusoff et al. [22], Maa et al. [36], J.Y. Park group [37], Zubir et al. [38] and several studies in the field of graphene-based composites were conducted in the past decade [39-44]. Most of the previous researches have been focused on either graphene with one component and/or a single core of magnetic nanomaterials with a single shell [5-8]. Multi components nanocomposites using nanoparticles of multi core-shell structure have not been studied in detail. In this study, development and characterization of nanocomposite of graphene oxide with multi core-shell Fe₃O₄-ZnO-TiO₂ nanoparticles with enhanced multi-functional properties is reported.

2. Experimental Procedure

This paper presents synthesis and characterization of a complex ceramic nanocomposite of graphene with multi coreshell nanoparticles. The nanoparticles will have a core-shell structure of magnetite core with photocatalytic semiconductors shells. The proposed ceramic nanocomposite consists of two major elements: (i) functionalized graphene oxide and (ii) multi core-shell nanoparticles. A superparamagnetic Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles were synthesized as a core material. The core was subsequently coated with photocatalytic shells of ZnO and TiO₂, respectively. Finally, the multi core-shell nanoparticles were mixed with the functionalized graphene oxide to form the nanocomposite.

Ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl₃,6H₂O), polyvinyl-pyrrolidone (PVP, K30), urea (CO(NH₂)₂) and ethylene glycol (EG), Zinc acetate dehydrate (C₄H₆O₄Zn.2H₂O), Tetrabutyl titanate, sodium hydroxide (NaOH), ethanol (C₂H₆O), graphite, potassium permanganate (KMnO₄), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄), nitric acid (H₂NO₃), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), sodium borohydride (NaBH₄), and other materials were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and Fluka. All materials were of reagent grade and used as received without any further purification. Sequential experimental processing steps were conducted to prepare functionalized graphene oxide, magnetic nanoparticles, core-shell nanoparticles and nanocomposite. Graphene was synthesized by modified Hummer's method using graphite. Afterwards, graphene will be functionalized. Graphene was characterized by FTIR, Raman, XRD (Philiphs, Cu Kα λ=1.540 Å, 40kV, 25 mA), FE/SEM and TEM/SAED. FGO was prepared from graphite via exfoliation technique. Figure 3a shows the schematic presentation of functionalized graphene oxide. Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) were synthesized applying co-precipitation method. The general procedure consists of mixing and stirring of FeCl₂.4H₂O+FeCl₃.6H₂O with other chemicals. Subsequently, it was put in autoclave. The nanoparticles were obtained after centrifuging and drying of the solution. After preparation of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles (as a core materials), the ZnO coating was achieved by mixing zinc acetate dehydrate in diethylene glycol medium in the presence of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles. Then, the coating of TiO₂ was carried out by solvothermal method by using titanium tetra isopropoxide solution. Graphene oxide (GO) was prepared from graphite via exfoliation techniques. Finally, Fe₃O₄-ZnO-TiO₂ multi coreshell nanoparticles was combined and synthesized with functionalized graphene oxide.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Graphene Synthesis and Characterization

Infrared (IR), X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Raman spectra of graphite, graphite oxide and graphene sheet are shown in figures 1a, 1b and 1c, respectively. From the XRD patterns (figure 1b), it is evident that the characteristic peak of graphite $(2\theta = 26.5^{\circ})$ corresponding to 002 plane, is almost diminished in graphite oxide and graphene. Instead, new peaks at 10.8° (graphite oxide) and 23.9° (graphene) have appeared. The new peak in graphite oxide corresponds to 001 plane and suggests the successful oxidation of graphite to graphene oxide. The shift in XRD peak after sonication of graphite oxide could be attributed to the formation of graphene sheets. The new peak at 23.9° is associated with 002 plane of sp² carbon atoms. In

the Raman spectra (figure 1c), graphite exhibited a G band peak at 1579 cm⁻¹, which could be associated with the stretching vibrations of sp² bonded in-plane carbon atoms. In the case of graphite oxide and graphene sheets, the G band vibrations originated at relatively higher wavenumbers of 1597 cm⁻¹ and 1601 cm⁻¹, respectively, compared to graphite. Another band, known as the D band, was observed at 1359 cm⁻¹ (graphite oxide) and 1352 cm⁻¹ (graphene). The occurrence of D band in the Raman spectrum of graphite oxide spectrum also suggested the oxidation of graphite [45]. TEM studies revealed the formation of a few layers functionalized graphene oxide (FGO), as well as core-shell nanoparticles. TEM image spectra of functionalized graphene oxide is shown in figures 1d [45].



Fig. 1: Characterization of graphite, graphite oxide and graphene by: a) Infrared spectra, b) XRD c) Raman spectra [45] and d) TEM image of graphene oxide.

3.2. Nanoparticles and Composite Preparation and Characterization

FESEM/SEM and TEM images of bare MNPs, MNPs coated with ZnO are shown in figure 2. As shown in figure 2a, the Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were clusters of fine particles clinging together and the aggregates are spherical in shape with an average size of 20.0 nm. The agglomerated clusters of particles are due to the magneto-static coupling between the particles. Microstructural studies revealed that the control of the procedure resulted in the formation of core-shell structure after coating with ZnO and TiO₂. The median size of Fe₃O₄/ZnO core-shell nanoparticles was less than 50 nm. Figure 2b, shows the FESEM image of MNPs coated with ZnO. It is obvious that it is impossible to show the nature of core-shell structure with this type of SEM. Figures 2c and 2d show the TEM image of bare MNPs and ZnO coated MNPs nanoparticles, respectively. This is clearly an indicative of the formation of ZnO shell on top of MNPs.



Fig. 2: a) FESEM images of bare MNPs, b) FESEM images of ZnO coated MNPs, c)TEM image of bare MNPs and d) TEM image of MNPs coated with ZnO.

TEM images of MNPs coated with ZnO and TiO₂ are shown in figure 3. Figures 3a and 3b show the TEM images of MNPs/ZnO coated with TiO₂ at low and high magnification, respectively. Figures 3c and 3d show the TEM image of MNPs/ZnO coated with TiO₂ at low and high magnification.

Figure 4 shows the XRD patterns of bare MNPs, MNPs coated with ZnO and TiO₂. As shown in figure 10a, the diffraction peaks of bare Fe₃O₄ are clear at different 2 θ (30.10°, 35.57°, 43.20°, 53.68°, 57.17°,62.89°, 71.3° and 74.31°), which correspond to miller indices of (220), (311), (400), (422), (511), (440), (020) and (533), respectively. This is in accordance with the Fe₃O₄ PDF data (JCPDS file # 19-0629) and no peak of any other phase/s was detected. The mean crystalline size of Fe₃O₄ was evaluated to be between 5-10 nm, from the line broadening of the XRD peaks, using the following equation Scherrer's formula:

$$L = \frac{K \lambda}{\beta Cos \theta} \tag{1}$$

where: L is the mean size of the ordered (crystalline) domains, K is the shape factor typical value of about 0.9, λ is the X-ray wavelength, β is the line broadening at half the maximum intensity (FWHM), θ is the Bragg angle (in degrees).



Fig. 3: TEM images of MNPs/ZnO/TiO₂ nanoparticles at low and high magnification.

Figure 4 shows XRD patterns of the Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles after coating with ZnO and TiO₂. In this figure, new non-magnetite related peaks at 31.78°, 34.43°, 36.24°, 47.52°, 56.56°, 62.86°, 66.28°, 67.90° and 68.91° were observed. The position and relative intensity of these new peaks match well with (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103), (200), (112) and (201) planes of the standard date for the hexagonal wurtzite structure of the bulk ZnO (JCPDS file No. 36-1451]. No peaks corresponding to any other phase/s are detected, indicating that there has been no reaction between Fe₃O₄ and ZnO during the coating of magnetite core process. The mean crystalline size of Fe₃O₄@ZnO was evaluated to be about 25 nm, from the line broadening of the XRD peaks, using the Scherrer's formula. Figure 4 also shows the XRD pattern of ZnO/TiO₂ coated MNPs, which confirms the existence of ZnO/TiO₂ on the surface of the MNPs. This was clearly confimed by detecting the main peaks of ZnO and TiO₂ along with main peaks of Fe₃O₄.



Fig. 4: XRD pattern of bare MNPs, MNPs/ZnO and MNPs/ZnO/TiO₂ nanoparticles.

3.3. Magnetic Properties Evaluation

The magnetic properties of the fabricated nanoaprticles as well as nanocomposites were examined at room temperature on a magnetometer and compared Fe₃O₄. The magnetic property of MNPs nanoparticles and nanocomposite were measured is shown in figure 5. The magnetization curves in figure 5 showed that the bare Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles had high magnetization saturation (M_S) value of 40.96 emu.g⁻¹. As it was anticipated, it is lower than its bulk value (about 90 emu.g⁻¹). This decrease can be attributed to the disorder of magnetic moments of surface layer atoms in nanoparticles, as it has been mentioned in the literature [46-48]. The data indicated that magnetic property of the Fe₃O₄/ZnO core-shell nanoparticles was lower than the bare Fe₃O₄ MNPs (from 40.96 emu.g⁻¹ in bare Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles down to 20.13 emu.g⁻¹ in Fe₃O₄/ZnO nanoparticles). As it was expected in Fe₃O₄/ZnO-TiO₂ sample, magnetic property of the nanoparticles was even lower (from 20.13 emu.g⁻¹ in Fe₃O₄/ZnO and to 12.98 emu.g⁻¹ in Fe₃O₄/ZnO/TiO₂). This is because ZnO and TiO₂ nanoparticles does not have suitable magnetic property. That is why; they have diminished the magnetic property of bare Fe₃O₄MNPs, as well. When nanocomposite was made (GO with Fe₃O₄/ZnO/TiO₂ nanoparticles), magnetic property of the nanocomposites was increased from 12.98 emu.g⁻¹ to 28.18 emu.g⁻¹.



Fig. 5: The magnetic property of different samples.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, a nanocomposite of functionalized graphene oxide with multi core-shell Fe_3O_4 -ZnO-TiO₂ nanoparticles was synthesized successfully. The results showed that the average size of synthesized magnetite and core-shell nanoparticles were less than 20 nm, and 50 nm, respectively. TEM studies revealed the formation of a few layers functionalized graphene oxide (FGO), as well as core-shell nanoparticles. Vibrating sample magnetometer measurement showed that magnetic property of the bare Fe_3O_4 , core-shell nanoparticles and nanocomposite were 40.96 emu.g⁻¹, 20.13 emu.g⁻¹, 12.98 and 28.18 emu.g⁻¹, respectively.

References

- [1] M. Bououdina, "Handbook of research on nanoscience, nanotechnology and advanced materials," *IGI Global*, pp. 164-176, 2014.
- [2] S. Zanganeh, G. Hutter, R. Spitler, O. Lenkov, M. Mahmoudi, A. Shaw, P. J. Sakari, H. Nejadnik, S. Goodman, M. Moseley, C. L. Marie, H. Elisabeth, "Iron oxide nanoparticles inhibit tumor growth by inducing pro-inflammatory macrophage polarization in tumor tissues," *Nature Nanotechnology*, vol. 11, pp. 986-994, 2016.
- [3] N. O. Weiss, H. Zhou, L. Liao, Y. Liu, S. Jiang, Y. Huang, and X. Duan, "Graphene: An emerging electronic material," *Advanced Materials*, vol. 24 no. 43, pp. 5782-5825, 2012.
- [4] R. K. Singh, R. Kumar and D. P. Singh "Graphene oxide: strategies for synthesis, reduction and frontier applications," *Royal Society of Chemistry, Advance Journal*, vol. 6 no.1, pp. 64993-65011, 2016.
- [5] M. Mahmoudi, S. Sant, B. Wang, S. Laurent, T. Sen, "Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs): development, surface modification and applications in chemotherapy," *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, vol. 63, pp. 24-46, 2011.
- [6] T. Wang, Z. Liu, M. Lu, B. Wen, Q. Ouyang, Y. Chen, C. Zhu, P.Gao, C. Li, M. Cao, and L. Qi, "Graphene–Fe₃O₄ nanohybrids: synthesis and excellent electromagnetic absorption properties," *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 113, no. 1, p ID. 024314, 2013.
- [7] S. Singh, K. C. Barick and D. Bahadur, "Fe₃O₄ embedded ZnO nanocomposites for the removal of toxic metal ions, organic dyes and bacterial pathogens," *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, vol. 1, pp. 3325-33, 2013.

- [8] E. P. Randvir, D. A. C. Brownson, C. E. Banks, "A decade of graphene research: production, applications and outlook," *Materials Today*, vol. 17, pp. 426-432, 2014.
- [9] R. Muñoz, C. Gómez-Aleixandre, "Review of CVD synthesis of graphene," *Chemical Vapor Deposition Journal*, vol. 19 no. 1, pp. 297-322, 2013.
- [10] W. S. Hummers, R. E. Offeman, "Preparation of graphitic oxide," *Journal of American Chemical Society*, 1958, vol. 80 no. 6, pp. 1339-1339.
- [11] J. Park, K. An, Y. Hwang, J. G. Park, H. J. Noh, J. Y. Kim, J. H. Park, N. M. Hwang, and T. Hyeon, "Ultra-largescale syntheses of monodisperse nanocrystals," *Nature Materials.*, vol. 3, pp. 891-895, 2004.
- [12] M. Bengtsson, N. Castellote, "Heterogeneous photocatalysis on construction materials: effect of catalyst properties on the efficiency for degrading NO_x and self-cleaning," *Materials for Construction*, vol. 64 no. 314, p. ID 013, 2014.
- [13] M. Ghazanfari, F. Johar, A. Yazdani, Journal of Ultrafine Grained and Nanostructured Materials, vol. 47, pp. 97-103, 2014.
- [14] F. Hossinian, A. Nemati, and Y. F. Joya, "Synthesis of C-N-Y tri-doped TiO2 photocatalyst for MO degradation and characterization," *Materias Research Express*, vol. 2, no. 10, p. ID 105011, 2015.
- [15] A. Akyol, H. Yatmaz, M. Bayramoglu, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, vol. 54, pp. 19-24, 2004.
- [16] L. Sophie, M. Mahmoudi, "Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: promises for diagnosis and treatment of cancer," *International Journal of Molecular Epidemiology and Genetics*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 367-390, 2011.
- [17] V. P. Torchilin, "Drug targeting," European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, vol. 11, pp. 81-91, 2000.
- [18] J. Dobson, "Magnetic nanoparticles for drug delivery," Drug Development Research, vol. 67, pp. 55-60, 2006.
- [19] V. H. Dinder and H. C. Weinstabl, "Surface modified superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles," *Monatshefte für Chemie, Chemical Monthly*, vol. 138, pp. 315-320, 2007.
- [20] R. G. Chaudhuri, S. Paria, "Core/Shell Nanoparticles: Classes, Properties, Synthesis Mechanisms, Characterization, and Applications," *Chem. Rev.*, vol. 112, pp. 2373-2433, 2012.
- [21] R. G. Chaudhuri and S. Paria "Core-shell nanoparticles: classes, properties, synthesis mechanisms, characterization, and applications," *Chemistry Review*, vol. 112, pp. 2373-2433, 2012.
- [22] N. Yusoff, S. V. Kumar, A. Pandikumar, N. M. Huang, A. R. Marlinda, and M. N. An'amt, "Core-shell Fe₃O₄-ZnO nanoparticles decorated on reduced graphene oxide for enhanced photo electrochemical water splitting," *Ceramics International*, vol. 41, no.3, pp. 5117-5128, 2015.
- [23] S. Singh, K. Barick, D. Bahadur, Journal of Materials Chemistry A, vol. 1, pp. 3325-3333, 2013.
- [24] N. Yusoff, S. V. Kumar, A. Pandikumar, N. Huang, A. Marlinda, M. An'amt, Ceramics International, vol. 41, pp. 5117-5128, 2015.
- [25] F. T. Johra, W.-G. Jung, Applied Catalysis A: General, vol. 491, 52-57, 2015.
- [26] N. Ahmadi, A. Nemati, M. Solati-Hashjin, "Synthesis and characterization of co-doped TiO₂ thin films on glassceramic," *Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 41-48, 2014.
- [27] K. M. Deen, A. Farooq, M. A. Raza, and W. Haider, "Effect of electrolyte composition on TiO₂ nano tubular structure formation and its electrochemical evaluation," *Electrochimica Acta*, vol. 117, pp. 329-335, 2014.
- [28] N. Elamin, A. Elsanousi, "Synthesis of ZnO nanostructures and their photocatalytic activity," *Journal of Applied and Industrial Sciences*, vol. 1, no.1, pp. 32-35, 2013.
- [29] J. N. Muhd, and S. Bagheri "Recent developments on titania nanoparticle as photocatalytic cancer cells treatment," *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B, Biology*, vol. 163, pp. 421-430, 2016.
- [30] A. Kołodziejczak-Radzimska, and T. Jesionowski, "Zinc oxide-from synthesis to applications: A Review," *Materials Journal*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 2833-2881, 2014.
- [31] Sh. Ge, M. Agbakpe, W. Zhang, L. Kuang, Z. Wu, and X. Wang, "Recovering Fe3O4-ZnO nanocomposites from algal biomass based on hydrophobicity shift under irraiation," *ACS applied Materials and interfaces*, vol. 7, no. 21, pp. 11677-11682, 2015.
- [32] C. Wang, C. Feng, Y. Gao, X. Ma, Q. Wu, Z. Wang, Chemical Engineering Journal, vol. 173, pp. 92-97, 2011.
- [33] V. Chandra, J. Park, Y. Chun, J.W. Lee, I.-C. Hwang, K.S. Kim, ACS nano, vol. 4, 3979-3986, 2010.
- [34] Z. Geng, Y. Lin, X. Yu, Q. Shen, L. Ma, Z. Li, N. Pan, X. Wang, *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, vol. 22, 3527-3535, 2012.
- [35] Y. Lin, Z. Geng, H. Cai, L. Ma, J. Chen, J. Zeng, N. Pan, X. Wang, *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*, pp. 4439-4444, 2012.

- [36] X. Maa, A. Gonga, B. Chenb, J. Zhengb, T. Chena, Z. Shena, and A. Wua, "Exploring a new SPION-based MRI contrast agent with excellent water-dispersibility, high specificity to cancer cells and strong MRI imaging efficacy," *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, vol. 126, pp. 44-49, 2015.
- [37] J. Y. Park, S.W. Choi, J. W. Lee, C. Lee, and S. S. Kim, "Synthesis and gas sensing properties of TiO₂–ZnO coreshell nanofibers core-shell nanofibers," *Journal of American Ceramic Soc.*, vol. 92, no. 11, pp. 2551-2554, 2009.
- [38] N. A. Zubir, C. Yacou, J. Motuzas, X. Zhang, J. C. D. da Costa, Scientific reports, vol. 4, p. 4594, 2014.
- [39] S. M. Lee, J. H. Kim, J. H. Ahn, "Graphene as a flexible electronic material: mechanical limitations by defect formation and efforts to overcome,", vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 336-344, 2015.
- [40] R. Jaiswal, S. Singh, and H. Pande, "Magnetic nanoparticles activated carbon: preparation, characterization and application," *Asian Journal Research Chemistry*, vol. 8 no. 12, pp. 757-768, 2015.
- [41] C. Worsch, M. Buttner, P. Schaaf, R. Harizanova, C. Russel, F. Schmid, and P. Seidel, "Magnetic properties of multicore magnetite nanoparticles prepared by glass crystallization," *Journal of Materials Science*, vol. 48, pp. 2299-2307, 2013.
- [42] X. Yang, X. Zhang, Y. Ma, Y. Huang, Y. Wang, and Y. Chen, "Superparamagnetic graphene oxide–Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles hybrid for controlled targeted drug carriers," *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, vol. 19, pp. 2710-2714, 2009.
- [43] M. G. Kochameshki, A. Marjani, M. Mahmoudian, K. Farhadi, "Grafting of diallyldimethyl ammonium chloride on graphene oxide by RAFT polymerization for modification of nanocomposite poly sulfone membranes using in water treatment," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 309, pp. 206-221, 2017.
- [44] Y. Lu, Y. Yin, B. T. Mayers, Y. Xia, "Modifying the Surface Properties of Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide Nanoparticles through a Sol-Gel Approach," *Nano Lett.*, vol. 2, pp. 183=186, 2002.
- [45] Unpublished work, Waseem Haider research group, Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant, MI, USA
- [46] Z. Q. Li, H. L. Wang, Y. Zi L, J. J. Zhang, Y. S. Zhang, Ceramics International, vol. 41, pp. 10634-10643, 2015.
- [47] C. R. Lin, Y. M. Chu, S. C. Wang, Materials Letters, vol. 60, pp. 447-450, 2006.
- [48] A. Hasanpour, M. Niyaifar, M. Asan, J. Amighian, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, vol. 334, pp. 41-44, 2013.